

# Opinion Survey on The New RTHK Public Consultation

~ Executive Report ~

Prepared for



**Central Policy Unit, HKSAR Government**

By

**CONSUMER SEARCH**



Consumer Search receives ISO9001:2000 certification on its quality management system of marketing research consultancy services in Hong Kong. All research projects are conducted in accordance with the provisions of the ICC/ESOMAR International Code of Marketing and Social Research Practice.





## Table of Contents

Chapter	Page.
<b>Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>I</b>
<b>1. Background and Objective .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Survey Methodology.....</b>	<b>1</b>
2.1 Sample Coverage and Eligible Respondents .....	1
2.2 Operation Summary.....	4
2.3 Enumeration Result .....	5
<b>3. Explanatory Notes .....</b>	<b>6</b>
3.1 Grouping of Responses in Analysis.....	6
3.2 Test of Significance .....	6
<b>4. Survey Findings.....</b>	<b>7</b>
4.1 Preamble.....	7
4.2 Public purposes .....	9
4.3 Development of new RTHK .....	10
4.4 Extended mode of service delivery .....	10
4.5 Corporate governance.....	14
4.6 The Charter .....	15
4.7 Performance Evaluation .....	16
<b>Annex I – Profile of Respondents.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Annex II – Summary Statistics on Response Rate.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Annex III – Summary of Quality Control .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>Annex IV – Questionnaire .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>Annex V – Coding Frame .....</b>	<b>29</b>
<b>Annex VI – Age Distribution of Respondents and Hong Kong Population.....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>Annex VII – Coefficient of Variation and Margin of Error of Selected Variables.....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>Annex VIII – Summary of Chi-square Test of Significance.....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>Annex IX – Cross Tabulation by Respondents’ Profile .....</b>	<b>51</b>



## **Executive Summary**

### Introduction

1. The Government, as represented by the Central Policy Unit (CPU), commissioned Consumer Search to conduct a telephone opinion survey (the Survey) to collect and analyse public opinions on the RTHK Public Consultation Paper published in October 2009.
2. The fieldwork period was between 3<sup>rd</sup> December 2009 and 11<sup>th</sup> December 2009. Through telephone interviewing, 1 003 persons of age 18 or above were successfully interviewed, with a response rate of 31.4%.

### Preamble

3. Overall, a majority of the respondents held a positive attitude on the new RTHK Public Consultation, agreeing to most proposals covered in the consultation paper to enhance the role and functions of the new RTHK as a public service broadcaster.
4. Nearly seven in ten (68.2%) respondents opined that RTHK should continue to be a Government department in providing public broadcasting service. Only 11.4% of respondents did not agree to it, while 20.4% of respondents did not give an opinion on this issue. Those who responded negatively were slightly more skewed towards people aged 30-39, with tertiary education attainment, working as managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals, and with personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above.
5. Most respondents gave a neutral view on the future editorial independence (46.9%) and future corporate governance of RTHK (52.7%). Comparatively speaking, there were slightly more respondents who were optimistic/ very optimistic towards the broadcaster's editorial independence (36.2%) than its corporate governance (28.4%) in future. The proportions of respondents being pessimistic/ very pessimistic were low for both aspects, at 9.9% and 9.1% respectively.

### Public purposes

6. The pursuing of the four proposed public purposes of RTHK as a public service broadcaster received strong level of support from the respondents, especially the purpose on establishing education value and promoting lifelong learning (92.3%). Stimulating creativity and excellence to enrich the multi-cultural life of Hong Kong (86.9%) came next, followed by fostering social harmony and promoting pluralism (86.6%), and sustaining citizenship and civil society (79.7%).



### Development of new RTHK

7. Around two-thirds of respondents agreed that RTHK should introduce digital broadcasting, including digital TV (66.9%) and digital radio (67.1%) channels, so that it might develop as an all-round public service broadcaster to serve the community.
8. Respondents who did not support the extended mode of service delivery (13.0% for digital TV channels and 11.2% for digital radio channels) were comparatively younger and were non-viewers of RTHK TV programmes.
9. Most (75.6%) respondents held the view that the Government should provide new resources for RTHK to expand its service scope. Respondents who disagreed to it (13.2%) tended to be younger, worked as managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals, and had higher education attainment.
10. A majority of the respondents agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in all the four proposed areas to enrich the society's cultural life and to elevate the provision of public service broadcasting to a new platform in Hong Kong. In order of level of support by the respondents, programmes that would encourage local creativity came first (91.6%), followed by those that would foster partnership with international broadcasters and content producers (82.4%), those that would encourage community participation in broadcasting (75.0%), and those that would foster partnership with national broadcasters and content producers (73.2%).
11. Respondents who did not agree to such new programming directions were in general tertiary educated, managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals, and had personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above.
12. Nearly two-thirds (65.0%) of respondents, especially the segment aged 18-29, students, the lower personal monthly income group (below HK\$10,000), and those who had ever listened to/ watched RTHK programmes, were positive towards the idea of establishing a dedicated Community Broadcasting Involvement Fund to encourage the involvement of community organisations and NGOs in broadcasting. People who opposed to the idea of the Fund (18.1%) were mainly 30-39 years old, tertiary educated, managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals, and with a personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above.

### Corporate governance

13. Over eight in ten (82.2%) respondents agreed that RTHK should enhance its corporate governance and be accountable to the public.



14. Most respondents also agreed to the Government's introduction of a broad-based Board of Advisors to help enhance the corporate governance of RTHK and its accountability to the public (69.1%), and that serving ExCo and LegCo Members, public and judicial officers should not be appointed to the Board of Advisors (68.2%). Those who were not in favour of these two proposals (14.9% and 15.4% respectively) tended to be the middle-aged bracket, and with personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above.

#### The Charter

15. RTHK's programme productions were seen to have been carrying out with editorial independence by a great majority (83.5) of respondents. Over two-thirds of the respondents (68.6%) opined that the Charter to be signed by the Chief Secretary for Administration would give further safeguard to the editorial independence of RTHK, and only 15.7% of respondents thought otherwise.

#### Performance Evaluation

16. The suggestion that RTHK should disclose to the public in the form of annual report its achievements against a set of performance indicators in order to enhance transparency and accountability was well received by the vast majority (85.4%) of respondents. Relatively speaking, the level of agreement was greater among higher personal monthly income earners, and managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals.
17. There were some respondents who could not state their view or answer some questions in the Survey. These were in general females, of older age (aged 60 or above), with lower education attainment, non-listeners or viewers of RTHK programmes, and had not read the public consultation paper on RTHK.



## **1. Background and Objective**

1. The Government, as represented by the Central Policy Unit (CPU), commissioned Consumer Search to conduct a telephone opinion survey (the Survey) to collect and analyse public opinions on the RTHK Public Consultation Paper published in October 2009.
2. The following sections present the methodology and the findings of this Survey.

## **2. Survey Methodology**

### **2.1 Sample Coverage and Eligible Respondents**

3. This Survey covered the land-based households that are installed with residential telephone line in Hong Kong. Within these households, members aged below 18 and domestic helpers were excluded.

#### **2.1.1 Sampling Frame**

4. The Consumer Search Residential Telephone Directory was used as the master sampling framework for the Survey.
5. This Residential Telephone Directory is maintained and updated once a month by the in-house MIS department of the organization. As a standard management control procedure, the summary statistics will be published for the vetting of the management of the organization once the master database has been updated.
6. The sources of the data records are obtained from both the online and offline directories as maintained by the Telephone operators in Hong Kong.
  - i. The offline directory consists of the printed version of the residential phone directories published in years 2005 and 2007.
  - ii. The online directory is updated once a month via the online enquiry platform of the telephone companies.
  - iii. The latest count of such directory has maintained an updated list of 1 724 000 residential telephone numbers as at December 2009.
  - iv. This represents 89.5% of the total residential exchange lines (that include Direct Dialing in lines, Facsimile lines and Datel lines) in Hong Kong when comparing to the latest "Key Statistics for Telecommunication in Hong Kong – Wireline Services" as published by the Office of the Telecommunications Authority (OFTA) on 30 November 2009.



### **2.1.2 Sample Design**

7. Samples drawn from the Consumer Search Residential Telephone Directory formed the master sample list for the Survey.
8. A systematic random selection of telephone numbers by District Council districts was used to build the basic sample set for the Survey. This sample was further divided into a number of sample replicates. The size of each sample replicate was about 200 telephone numbers. Each replicate contained a representative sample of telephone numbers in each District Council district.
9. At the second stage, telephone calls were made to households using the selected telephone numbers. In each successfully contacted residential unit, one person aged 18 or over was selected for interview by using the "Kish Grid" method.



### 2.1.3 Estimation Method

10. All data collected from telephone interviews were weighted to adjust for the difference in the sex and age distribution of the successfully interviewed sample from the distribution in Hong Kong population prior to conducting analysis. The calculation of weighting was based on the “Hong Kong Resident Population of age 18 or above as at 2008 (excluding Foreign Domestic Helpers)” provided by the Census and Statistics Department.
11. The estimation formula of the parameters and their corresponding sampling errors are as follows:

- a. With the application of the proposed weighting scheme, the estimator of

population mean was represented by  $\bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i x_i$  where

- (i)  $x_i$  was the value of individual  $i$ ;
- (ii)  $w_i$  was the weight of individual  $i$ , denoted as  $\frac{n}{N} \times \frac{N_k}{n_k}$  where
- (iii)  $k$  was the group representing by gender and age that individual belonged to;
- (iv)  $n$  was the total sample size of the survey;
- (v)  $N$  was the (estimated) size of persons with specific response in the population;
- (vi)  $n_k$  was the sample size of the  $k^{th}$  group (i.e. the  $k^{th}$  sampling cell);
- (vii)  $N_k$  was the population size of  $k^{th}$  group.

- b. The estimator for variance of estimated population mean was represented by

$Var(\bar{x}) = (1 - \frac{n}{N}) \frac{s^2}{n}$  where sample variance was defined as

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i^2 (x_i - \bar{x})^2 .$$





## **2.2 Operation Summary**

### **2.2.1 Conduct of Pilot Test**

12. Before the execution of fieldwork, a pilot survey was carried out on 2 December 2009. A total of 30 successful interviews were completed. The pilot test was completed satisfactorily. All telephone numbers selected for the pilot survey were excluded in the main survey.

### **2.2.2 Data Collection and Processing**

13. Data for the Survey were collected by telephone interviews. The data collected were processed by computer, and tabulations of survey results were produced. Sub-group analyses by meaningful attributes, cross-variables analyses and statistical testing were conducted as appropriate.

### **2.2.3 Quality Checking**

14. A total of 15% of questionnaires completed by each enumerator were validated using back check for quality assurance purpose.



## 2.3 Enumeration Result

15. The fieldwork of the main survey was carried out between 3 December and 11 December 2009. A total of 1 003 interviews were completed. The manpower deployment was as follows:

Date	Number of enumerators	Working hours	Number of enumerated cases
3 December	21	18:00 – 23:00	148
4 December	21	10:00 – 23:00	158
5 December	16	13:00 – 23:00	144
6 December	14	13:00 – 23:00	101
7 December	20	17:00 – 23:00	114
8 December	22	17:00 – 23:00	104
9 December	18	17:00 – 23:00	74
10 December	18	13:00 – 23:00	75
11 December	22	13:00 – 23:00	85
<b>Total</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1 003</b>



### **3. Explanatory Notes**

#### **3.1 Grouping of Responses in Analysis**

16. The responses of the respondents were grouped to facilitate the analysis:
- i. Responses “Can’t remember”, “Don’t know”, “No comment” and “Refuse to answer” were combined into a single group for each question (if applicable);
  - ii. For the questions with 5-point scale responses (i.e. Q17 and Q18), the positive mentions and the negative mentions were combined into two separate groups respectively;
  - iii. For the demographic questions, the responses were combined into different groups.
17. The details were listed in Annex V.

#### **3.2 Test of Significance**

18. In statistical terms, if a difference is declared significant, it simply means that this difference, no matter whether it is a large or small difference, cannot be explained by sampling errors.
19. With very large samples, where the sampling distributions of the null and alternative hypotheses would have small standard errors, small differences in percentages would be significant.
20. The chi-square test of independence enables the claim of whether the observed cell frequencies ( $O$ ) are in agreement with the frequencies expected ( $E$ ) when the null hypothesis is true.
21. The chi-square statistic  $\chi^2$  is calculated by computing  $(E - O)^2 / E$  for each interval and summing the results ( $E$  is the expected frequency and  $O$  is the observed frequency).
22. The null hypothesis to be tested, in this case, is that the responses are independent from different sub groups, that is, the differences observed in the survey data reflect only the sampling variation.



## **4. Survey Findings**

23. This section contains the detailed survey results of the Survey. Findings at the consolidated total level are commented. Findings of key sub-groups (such as age, gender, activity status) are highlighted in the report only if significant differences against the total level are observed.
24. For tables presented in this report, percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.
25. The survey findings are organized into six sub-sections of commentary, namely:
- i. Preamble;
  - ii. Public purposes;
  - iii. Development of new RTHK;
  - iv. Corporate governance
  - v. The Charter; and
  - vi. Performance evaluation.

### **4.1 Preamble**

#### **4.1.1 Continuing of being a Government department in providing public broadcasting service**

26. Nearly seven in ten (68.2%) respondents who were 18 years old or above agreed that RTHK should continue to be a Government department in providing public broadcasting service, while slightly over one in ten (11.4%) respondents held an opposite view on this.
- i. Analysed by subgroups, those who expressed a positive opinion on this tended to be middle-aged (aged 40-49, 73.1%), skilled and unskilled workers (78.4%), frequent listeners (76.0%) or viewers (73.0%) of RTHK programmes, and those who had read the consultation document on RTHK (78.8%).



- ii. Those who opined that RTHK should not continue to be a Government department in providing public broadcasting service were slightly more prone towards people who were aged 30-39 (17.1%), tertiary educated (17.5%), working as managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals (19.6%), and with higher personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above (18.7%). Among this group of respondents, there was no significant difference in opinion between those who had read the consultation paper on RTHK and those who had not read it.
27. It is noteworthy that about one-fifth (20.4%) of respondents were unable to give an opinion on this issue or refused to answer the question.
- i. Respondents who could not state their view on this issue (and on most other issues covered in this Survey) were mainly females (26.6%), 60 years old and above (30.8%), primary or below educated (36.1%), non-working (28.2%, especially the unemployed: 33.8%), non-RTHK radio programme listeners (28.9%) or TV programme viewers (43.1%), and those who had not read the consultation document on RTHK (21.4%).

#### **4.1.2 Editorial independence of RTHK in future**

28. Slightly over one-third of the respondents (36.2%) indicated they were optimistic (optimistic/ very optimistic) towards the editorial independence of RTHK in future, while almost half of the respondents (46.9%) had a neutral outlook on the issue. Only one in ten (9.9%) were pessimistic (pessimistic/ very pessimistic) about the editorial independence. Another 6.9% of respondents were unable to give an opinion on this issue or refused to answer the question.
- i. Those who had a positive opinion on the issue were largely the older respondents (aged 50-59: 42.5%; aged 60 and above, 38.1%), frequent listeners (44.7%) or viewers (42.5%) of RTHK programmes, and those who had read the consultation document (47.0%).
  - ii. There was no major significant difference in the demographic characteristics of respondents who were neutral or negative on the future editorial independence of RTHK.



### 4.1.3 Corporate governance of RTHK in future

29. Again, most respondents (52.7%) gave a neutral view on the corporate governance of RTHK in future. Nearly three in ten (28.4%) respondents were optimistic (optimistic/ very optimistic) towards this issue, while about one-tenth (9.1%) of the respondents were pessimistic towards it. Another 9.7% of respondents were unable to give an opinion on this issue or refused to answer the question.
- Relatively speaking, there was a higher proportion of males (32.0%) than females (25.1%) who showed a positive attitude on the corporate governance of RTHK in future. The older age brackets (aged 40 and above, 31.1% ~ 33.8%), and the frequent listeners (36.5%) or viewers (35.2%) of RTHK programmes were, again, more optimistic on the issue.
  - People who were neutral on the issue tended to be the younger segment (aged 18-29, 70.2%) and students (68.8%).
  - There was no major significant difference in the demographic characteristics of respondents who were negative on the corporate governance of RTHK in future.

## 4.2 Public purposes

30. The respondents were asked if they agreed that RTHK should fulfil a number of public purposes:
- Establishing education value and promoting lifelong learning;
  - Stimulating creativity and excellence to enrich the multi-cultural life of Hong Kong;
  - Fostering social harmony and promoting pluralism; and
  - Sustaining citizenship and civil society.
- All the four public purposes received high level of agreement. Among them, establishing education value and promoting lifelong learning (92.3%) was sought after by most respondents, followed by stimulating creativity and excellence to enrich the multi-cultural life of Hong Kong (86.9%), fostering social harmony and promoting pluralism (86.6%), and sustaining citizenship and civil society (79.7%).



- ii. Broadly speaking, respondents who expressed agreement on fulfilling the public purposes tended to be listeners/ viewers of RTHK programmes (comparing to those who never listen/ watch RTHK programmes). There was no significant difference in the level of agreement between those who had read the consultation paper on RTHK and those who had not.
- iii. The proportions of respondents who disagreed that RTHK should perform these public purposes were very low, at 3.2%, 4.4%, 3.6%, and 5.5% respectively.
- iv. There were some respondents who did not give a view on the issue or refused to answer the question, at 4.6%, 8.7%, 9.8% and 14.8% respectively. Same as people who could not state their opinion on other issues in the Survey, these people were more skewed towards females, 60 years old and over, primary or below educated, public housing residents, non-working, and had never listened to RTHK radio programmes/ watched RTHK TV programmes.

### **4.3 Development of new RTHK**

#### **4.3.1 Extended mode of service delivery**

31. The proportions of respondents who held the opinion that RTHK should extend its service delivery of digital channels were about the same for TV and radio, with 66.9% of respondents thinking that the broadcaster should launch digital TV channels, and 67.1%, digital radio channels.
32. The level of disagreement to the service delivery was quite low, at 13.0% for digital TV channels and 11.2% for digital radio channels. The remaining one-fifth of respondents (20.1% and 21.6% respectively) had no opinion on the issue or refused to answer the question.
  - i. Significant differences on agreement were observed among a few sub-groups, with those who desired the launch showing a slight skew towards:
    - males (72.2% for TV and 73.8% for radio);
    - the middle-aged (72.5% and 72.8% respectively for aged 40-49 and 50-59 for TV, and 74.0% aged 40-49 for radio);
    - the tertiary educated (72.0% for TV);
    - students (77.4% for TV and 81.4% for radio); and
    - those who had read the consultation paper on RTHK (73.4% for TV and 70.4% for radio).



- ii. Those who had no opinion on the launch had a similar profile to those who did not give their views on other questions in the Survey.

#### **4.3.2 Provision of new resources by the Government for RTHK to expand its service scope**

33. Three-quarters (75.6%) of respondents agreed that the Government should provide new resources for RTHK to expand its service scope, while 13.2% thought otherwise. About one in ten (11.2%) of respondents did not express any opinion on this issue.
  - i. Those who agreed to the idea tended to be people who had ever listened to or watched RTHK programmes.
  - ii. Respondents who were negative towards the idea tended to be younger, especially the age bracket of 30-39 (20.1%); managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals (21.4%), and non-listeners (17.7%) or viewers (19.8%) of RTHK programmes. The level of disagreement also rose with the increase in education attainment.

#### **4.3.3 Development of new programming opportunities by RTHK**

34. The respondents were asked if they agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in a number of areas, including:
  - promoting and facilitating local original content production;
  - fostering partnership with international broadcasters and content producers to broaden our international horizon;
  - encouraging community participation in broadcasting; and
  - fostering partnership with national broadcasters and content producers to enhance our understanding of developments in the Mainland.





35. A majority of the respondents considered that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in all the four areas. Relatively speaking, they supported programmes that encouraged local creativity the most (promoting and facilitating local original content production, 91.6%), followed by those that would expand our international prospective (fostering partnership with international broadcasters and content producers to broaden our international horizon, 82.4%). Relatively speaking, programmes that would provide a platform for the community to participate in broadcasting (encouraging community participation in broadcasting, 75.0%), and those that would help deepen our knowledge of developments in the Mainland (fostering partnership with national broadcasters and content producers to enhance our understanding of developments in the Mainland, 73.2%) received slightly lower levels of agreement.
- i. Respondents who favoured the different programming opportunities had somewhat different skew in their demographic characteristics, indicating the different needs of the various segments of people in the society:
- “promoting and facilitating local original content production” (91.6%) – aged 40-49 (97.2%), with tertiary education attainment (97.7%), and working (96.1%);
  - “fostering partnership with international broadcasters and content producers to broaden our international horizon” (82.4%) – aged 50-59 (88.1%), had attained secondary education / matriculated (89.1%), skilled and unskilled workers (90.4%), and with middle level of personal monthly income of HK\$10,000-24,999 (87.7%).
  - “encouraging community participation in broadcasting” (75.0%) – younger (aged 18-29 and 30-39, 86.9% and 80.9% respectively), had attained tertiary education (81.2%), students (86.6%), and had lower personal monthly income (below HK\$10,000, 83.5%).
  - “fostering partnership with national broadcasters and content producers to enhance our understanding of developments in the Mainland” (73.2%) – aged 50-59 (77.7%), had attained secondary education / matriculated (77.6%), and skilled and unskilled workers (80.5%).



- ii. Overall, the level of opposing to developing programmes in the various areas was not high. The proportion of respondents who did not support the programming direction about local original content production was extremely small, at 2.3%, while about one in ten respondents (9.8%) were negative towards the development of programmes involving partnership with international broadcasters and content producers. The levels of disagreement on the other two areas were higher, at 15.2% for community participation and 17.8% for programmes involving partnership with national broadcasters and content producers.
- Respondents who were not in favour of such new programmes were in general those who were tertiary educated, managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals, and had personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above.
- iii. There were 6.2%, 7.8%, 9.8% and 8.9% of respondents respectively who did not state an opinion on the various programming opportunities.

#### **4.3.4 Establishment of a Community Broadcasting Involvement Fund to encourage community organisations and NGOs to participate in broadcasting**

36. The idea of establishing a Community Broadcasting Involvement Fund to encourage community organisations and NGOs to participate in broadcasting was well-received by around two-thirds (65.0%) of respondents, who were more skewed towards the young segment (aged 18-29, 76.1%), students (76.9%), the lower income group (below HK\$10,000, 71.6%), and those who had ever listened to/ watched RTHK programmes.
37. There were, however, around two in ten (18.1%) respondents who held a negative view towards the Fund, while the remaining 16.9% did not have an opinion or refused to answer the question. Those who disagreed to the idea were mainly aged 30-39 (24.4%), tertiary educated (24.3%), managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals (24.3%), and with a higher personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above (33.5%).



## **4.4 Corporate governance**

### **4.4.1 Enhancement of RTHK's corporate governance and be accountable to the public**

38. The vast majority (82.2%) of respondents agreed that RTHK, with its operation being funded by public money, should enhance its corporate governance and be accountable to the public. Only 7.7% thought otherwise. The remaining one in ten (10.1%) respondents did not have a view on this issue or refused to answer the question.
- i. Those who supported the idea tended to be the middle-aged bracket (aged 40-49, 87.8%), and those from the working population (86.8%).
  - ii. The level of agreement also went up with the increase in education attainment (primary and below educated, 71.3%; tertiary educated, 86.6%), and personal monthly income (below HK\$10,000, 86.8%; HK\$25,000 and above, 90.0%).
  - iii. There were no major significant differences in the profile of those who did not agree to the enhancing of RTHK's corporate governance.

### **4.4.2 Establishment of a broad-based Board of Advisors to enhance the corporate governance of RTHK and its accountability to the public**

39. Almost seven in ten (69.1%) respondents agreed that the establishment of a broad-based Board of Advisors comprising mainly non-official members could help enhance the corporate governance of RTHK and its accountability to the public. The level of agreement decreased with age (aged 18-29, 77.0%; aged 60 and above, 51.6%), but increased with education attainment (primary or below educated, 52.1%; tertiary educated, 75.8%). Those who were students (77.9%), unemployed (78.3%), and with middle level of income (HK\$10,000-24,999, 77.4%) also had a higher tendency to agree to the proposal.
40. There were 14.9% of respondents who were against this suggestion, especially the 50-59 year olds (21.1%), managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals (21.4%) and those with personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above (20.5%).
41. The remaining 16.0% of respondents did not state an opinion or refused to answer the question.



#### **4.4.3 Board of Advisors should not comprise serving ExCo and LegCo Members, public and judicial officers**

42. The view of the respondents on whether the Board of Advisors should not comprise serving ExCo and LegCo Members, public and judicial officers was quite similar to that of the establishment of a broad-based Board of Advisors. Again, about seven in ten (68.2%) respondents considered that the Board of Advisors should not include serving ExCo and LegCo Members, public and judicial officers. The level of agreement also dropped with age (aged 18-29, 76.9%; aged 60 and above, 53.5%) but went up with education attainment (primary or below educated, 52.0%; tertiary educated, 75.8%). Students (78.7%), and those with middle level of income (HK\$10,000-24,999, 78.0%) were also more prone to support the suggestion.
43. The level of disagreement, at 15.4%, was marginally higher among the middle-aged segment (aged 40-49, 19.2%; 50-59 19.3%), and those with personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above (24.6%).
44. The remaining 16.3% of respondents did not state an opinion or refused to answer the question.

#### **4.5 The Charter**

##### **4.5.1 Whether RTHK's programme productions had been carrying out with editorial independence**

45. The great majority (83.5) of respondents found RTHK's programme productions had been carrying out with editorial independence. The level of agreement was particularly high among the middle-aged bracket (aged 40-49, 90.3%), managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals (90.7%), and those with personal monthly income of HK\$25,000 and above (93.0%).
46. Only a small proportion of 6.2% of respondents disagreed to this. Another 10.3% of respondents was unable to give their opinion or refused to answer the question.

##### **4.5.2 Whether the Charter to be signed by the Chief Secretary for Administration would give further safeguard to the editorial independence of RTHK**

47. Compared to the previous question, the level of agreeing that the Charter to be signed by the Chief Secretary for Administration would give further safeguard to the editorial independence of RTHK was relatively lower at 68.6%. Those who had a higher tendency to agree to this statement also differed slightly, being more skewed towards skilled and unskilled workers (79.3%), students (74.7%), and those with middle level of income (HK\$10,000-24,999, 79.4%).



48. There were 15.7% of respondents who did not support the statement. Another 15.7% of respondents was unable to give their opinion or refused to answer the question.

#### **4.6 Performance Evaluation**

##### **4.6.1 Publishing of annual report to the public on its achievements towards a set of performance indicators with a view to enhancing transparency and accountability**

49. Over eight in ten (85.4%) of respondents considered that RTHK should publish annual report to the public on its achievements towards a set of performance indicators with a view to enhancing transparency and accountability. Relatively speaking, the level of agreement rose with the increase in personal monthly income (below HK\$10,000, 85.6%; HK\$25,000 and above, 94.6%), and was higher among managers/ administrators/ professionals/ associate professionals (91.3%).
50. A small proportion of 5.3% of respondents disagreed to such suggestion. Another 9.3% of respondents was unable to give their opinion or refused to answer the question.



## Annex I – Profile of Respondents

### 1. Gender

	Frequency	Percentage	Weighted percentage
Male	439	43.8%	48.2%
Female	564	56.2%	51.8%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 003</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### 2. Age

	Frequency	Percentage	Weighted percentage
18 - 29	141	14.1%	19.6%
30 - 39	154	15.4%	18.6%
40 - 49	241	24.0%	22.7%
50 - 59	193	19.2%	18.7%
60 and above	274	27.3%	20.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 003</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### 3. Level of Educational Attainment

	Frequency	Percentage	Weighted percentage
Primary and below	244	24.3%	19.8%
Secondary / Matriculation	502	50.0%	51.1%
Tertiary	247	24.6%	28.2%
Refuse to answer	10	1.0%	0.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 003</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

### 4. Housing Type

	Frequency	Percentage	Weighted percentage
Rented public housing	254	25.3%	25.2%
Rented private housing	108	10.8%	10.7%
Purchased housing / Others	564	56.2%	56.6%
Don't know / No idea / Refuse to answer	77	7.7%	7.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 003</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



## 5. Economic Activity Status and Occupation

	Frequency	Percentage	Weighted percentage
Working	499	49.8%	55.4%
Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional	155	15.5%	17.3%
Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker	192	19.1%	21.4%
Skilled and unskilled worker	105	10.5%	11.4%
Refuse to answer	47	4.7%	5.2%
Non-working	504	50.2%	44.6%
Student	51	5.1%	7.1%
Homemaker	219	21.8%	18.1%
Retired	195	19.4%	15.2%
Unemployed / Others	34	3.4%	3.8%
Refuse to answer	5	0.5%	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 003</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## 6. Monthly Personal Income

	Frequency	Percentage	Weighted percentage
Below \$10,000	148	29.7%	29.2%
\$10,000 - \$24,999	200	40.1%	41.5%
\$25,000 and above	90	18.0%	17.4%
Refuse to answer	61	12.2%	11.9%
<b>Total working population</b>	<b>499</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## 7. Incidence of reading the consultation document

	Frequency	Percentage	Weighted percentage
Yes	101	10.1%	9.6%
No	866	86.3%	87.5%
Can't remember/ Refuse to answer	36	3.6%	2.9%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 003</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



## 8. Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes

	Frequency	Percentage	Weighted percentage
Always	269	26.8%	24.5%
Occasionally	238	23.7%	24.1%
Seldom	218	21.7%	23.0%
Never	277	27.6%	28.4%
Refuse to answer	1	0.1%	0.1%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 003</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

## 9. Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes

	Frequency	Percentage	Weighted percentage
Always	254	25.3%	23.2%
Occasionally	455	45.4%	46.6%
Seldom	193	19.2%	20.5%
Never	96	9.6%	9.3%
Refuse to answer	5	0.5%	0.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>1 003</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>100.0%</b>





## Annex II – Summary Statistics on Response Rate

### Statistics on calls for the non-contact cases

Call Attempt	Status				Total	Cumulative Percentage
	No answer / answering machine	Busy line	Call blocking, password needed	Selected person not at home		
2 to 3	11	2	8	23	44	100.00%
4	10	2	4	27	43	96.89%
5	34	3	5	76	118	93.85%
6	64	3	2	138	207	85.50%
7 or above	450	20	2	530	1 002	70.86%
<b>Total</b>	<b>569</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>794</b>	<b>1 414</b>	-
<b>Mean</b>	<b>8.01</b>	<b>7.23</b>	<b>4.52</b>	<b>7.31</b>	<b>7.55</b>	-

### Response Rate

<b>No. of telephone numbers initially sampled</b>	<b>3 400</b>
<i>No. of ineligible telephone numbers</i>	<i>201</i>
Non-working/ out of service numbers	38
Non-residential line	51
Fax line	101
No target respondent	4
Claimed wrong number	7
<i>Number of eligible telephone numbers</i>	<i>3 199</i>
Household refusal	625
Household eligible for interview	2 574
<i>Number of eligible households</i>	<i>2 574</i>
<i>Successfully completed interviews</i>	<i>1 003</i>
<i>Unsuccessful cases</i>	<i>1 571</i>
Individual refusal	64
Mid-way termination cases	11
Rejected cases	1
Non-contact cases	1 414
No answer / answering machine	569
Busy line	30
Call blocking, password needed	21
Selected person not at home	794
Language problem	81
<b>Response Rate (based on eligible telephone numbers)</b>	<b>31.4%</b>



## Annex III – Summary of Quality Control

<b>Part A. Quality Issues</b>	<b>Count</b>	<b>Follow-up Action</b>
Wrong target	1	(a)
Description: did not read out the question, pre-coded answers / attributes clearly	182	(d)
Marked answer(s) is / are incorrect / missing	11	(b)
Did not reconfirm / probe for any unclear answer	21	(d)
Leading the respondent	2	(d)
<b>Total number of quality issues</b>	<b>217</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>Part B. Checking Methods</b>	<b>Number of cases</b>	<b>-</b>
MP3 listening and back checking data	193	-
<b>No. of Cases Checked</b>	<b>193</b>	<b>-</b>

The follow-up actions that had been implemented to issues found included:

- For major issue such as wrong selection of respondents, the questionnaire was rejected.
- For wrong coding of answers, corrections (based on audio records) were carried out during data validation.
- For other issues that required follow-up, the enumerators had to recall the case again.
- The enumerator and the supervisors were informed of each quality issue so that continuous improvement could be implemented.



## Annex IV – Questionnaire

### 介紹詞

【讀出】你好！先生／小姐，我地做緊一個有關 香港電台嘅民意調查，想用幾分鐘同你做個簡短嘅訪問。多謝你嘅合作！

### 甄別受訪者

S1 由於隨機抽樣嘅需要，請問 計埋你在內，你屋企 有幾多位 **18歲或以上**，一星期**最少**有四晚 喺度嘅家庭成員呢？留宿嘅**家庭傭工**係唔計嘅。

	有 無	【單選】 1	→ 【填寫答案】 _____ 位
		2	→ 【結束訪問】

【讀出】跟住**年齡** **由大至細** **排列**，咁排第【讀出電腦選出的數字】嘅係邊一位呢？

自己 其他人	【單選】 1	→ 【讀出簡介】
	2	→ 【讀出】我想同佢做個訪問，麻煩你請佢嚟聽電話。

【如選中家庭成員不在，追問】佢大約幾點喺度呢？【記錄回家時間在電話紙上】

【如轉換談話對象，請重覆介紹詞和簡介】

### 簡介

【讀出】我姓\_\_\_\_\_，係精確市場研究中心嘅研究員。呢個研究所收集嘅資料只會用作 整體統計分析 同埋 絕對保密。

【核對住戶電話】



## 問卷主體

### 第一部分：前言

Q1. 請問你有幾經常**收聽**香港電台嘅**電台節目**？係經常、間中、好少、定係冇呢？

	【單選】	
經常	1	
間中	2	
好少	3	
冇	4	
拒絕回答	5	

Q2. 請問你有幾經常**收睇**香港電台嘅**電視節目**？係經常、間中、好少、定係冇呢？

	【單選】	
經常	1	
間中	2	
好少	3	
冇	4	
拒絕回答	5	

Q3. 你有冇睇過 政府嘅十月發表 關於香港電台嘅諮詢文件？

	【單選】	
有	1	
冇	2	
唔記得	3	
拒絕回答	4	

Q4. 你同唔同意 香港電台繼續以**政府部門**身分，提供公共廣播服務呢？

	【單選】	
同意	1	
唔同意	2	
唔知道／無意見	3	
拒絕回答	4	



## 第二部分：公共目的

Q5. 你同唔同意 香港電台應該做到以下嘅目標呢？

【輪流讀出】(次序由電腦隨機選定)	【單選】			
	同意	唔同意	唔知道／無意見	拒絕回答
( ) i. 確立公民身分 同 促進公民社會發展	1	2	3	4
( ) ii. 促進社會共融 同 多元性	1	2	3	4
( ) iii. 推動教育 同 鼓勵持續學習	1	2	3	4
( ) iv. 激發創意，推動追求卓越的風氣，豐富香港市民的多元文化生活	1	2	3	4

## 第三部分：香港電台日後的發展

Q6. 你贊唔贊成 香港電台開設數碼電視頻道呢？

贊成	【單選】	
唔贊成	1	
唔知道／無意見	2	
拒絕回答	3	
	4	

Q7. 你贊唔贊成 香港電台開設數碼電台頻道呢？

贊成	【單選】	
唔贊成	1	
唔知道／無意見	2	
拒絕回答	3	
	4	

Q8. 你贊唔贊成 政府增撥資源 俾香港電台擴展服務呢？

贊成	【單選】	
唔贊成	1	
唔知道／無意見	2	
拒絕回答	3	
	4	



Q9. 你贊唔贊成 香港電台喺以下幾方面 發展新節目呢？

【輪流讀出】(次序由電腦隨機選定)	【單選】			
	贊成	唔贊成	唔知道／無意見	拒絕回答
( ) i. 推動製作本地原創節目	1	2	3	4
( ) ii. 轉播內地電視電台節目 同 播放聯合製作節目，嚟加深我地對內地發展嘅認識	1	2	3	4
( ) iii. 轉播國際電視電台節目 同 播放聯合製作節目，嚟擴闊我地嘅國際視野	1	2	3	4
( ) iv. 提供平台俾社區參與廣播，鼓勵民間參與廣播事務	1	2	3	4

Q10. 你贊唔贊成 香港電台設立「社區廣播參與基金」，嚟鼓勵社區團體同非政府機構參與廣播事務呢？

贊成	【單選】	
唔贊成	1	
唔知道／無意見	2	
拒絕回答	3	
	4	

#### 第四部分：機構管治

Q11. 你同唔同意 以公帑營運嘅香港電台應該 提升管治水平 同 接受公眾問責？

同意	【單選】	
唔同意	1	
唔知道／無意見	2	
拒絕回答	3	
	4	

Q12. 你同唔同意成立 主要由非政府人員組成嘅 跨界別顧問委員會，有助提升香港電台嘅管治水平 同 加強問責性？

同意	【單選】	
唔同意	1	
唔知道／無意見	2	
拒絕回答	3	
	4	

Q13. 你同唔同意顧問委員會 唔應該包括現任行政會議成員、立法會議員、公職人員同司法人員，以確保香港電台不受政治干預？

同意	【單選】	
唔同意	1	
唔知道／無意見	2	
拒絕回答	3	
	4	



Q14. 你同唔同意香港電台應該發表年度報告，令公眾可以根據 既定嘅成效指標 嚟衡量表現，以加強香港電台運作嘅 透明度 同 問責性？

	【單選】	
同意	1	
唔同意	2	
唔知道／無意見	3	
拒絕回答	4	

## 第五部分：約章

Q15. 你同唔同意香港電台嘅節目製作方面，**一直享有**編輯自主？

	【單選】	
同意	1	
唔同意	2	
唔知道／無意見	3	
拒絕回答	4	

Q16. 你同唔同意由政務司司長簽訂約章，**進一步保障**香港電台嘅編輯自主？

	【單選】	
同意	1	
唔同意	2	
唔知道／無意見	3	
拒絕回答	4	

## 第六部分：總結

Q17. 你對香港電台日後嘅**編輯自主**有幾樂觀呢？  
係非常樂觀呀、樂觀呀、普通呀、唔樂觀呀、定係非常唔樂觀呢？

	【單選】	
非常樂觀	1	
樂觀	2	
普通	3	
唔樂觀	4	
非常唔樂觀	5	
唔知道／無意見	6	
拒絕回答	7	

Q18. 你對香港電台日後嘅**內部管治**有幾樂觀呢？  
係非常樂觀呀、樂觀呀、普通呀、唔樂觀呀、定係非常唔樂觀呢？

	【單選】	
非常樂觀	1	
樂觀	2	
普通	3	
唔樂觀	4	
非常唔樂觀	5	
唔知道／無意見	6	
拒絕回答	7	



## 背景資料

**GENDER** 記錄被訪者性別：

	【單選】
男	1
女	2

**AGE** 請問你嘅年齡大約係幾多？【以上一次生日計算】

	【單選】
18 – 29 歲	1
30 – 39 歲	2
40 – 49 歲	3
50 – 59 歲	4
60 歲或以上	5

**EDUCA** 請問你最高讀到咩程度同年班呢？【如學生，即現時就讀年級或程度】

	【單選】
未受教育／幼稚園	1
小學	2
中學（中一至中三）	3
中學（中四至中五）	4
預科（中六至中七）	5
專上（非學位課程）	6
大學學位或以上	7
拒絕回答	8

**DIST** 請問你依家住喺邊一區呢？【只作內部選樣監控】

【單選】									
香港島		九龍		新界					
中西區	01	油尖旺	05	葵青	10	大埔	15		
灣仔	02	深水埗	06	荃灣	11	沙田	16		
東區	03	九龍城	07	屯門	12	西貢	17		
南區	04	黃大仙	08	元朗	13	離島	18		
		觀塘	09	北區	14				
						拒絕回答	19		

**House** 請問你依家住緊嘅單位 係**買**定係**租** 嘅呢？  
係公營、私人住宅單位定係其他呢？【如果答其他，追問】係邊類呢？

租	買	其他
1 租公營房屋 （包括公屋／中轉房屋／ 房協出租房屋）	3 自置公營房屋 （包括居屋／租者置其屋 ／ 房協出售房屋）	5 臨時房屋
2 租私人住宅單位	4 自置私人住宅單位	6 其他（例如：員工宿舍）
7 唔知道／唔清楚		
8 拒絕回答		





**Workstat** 請問先生／小姐你依家有無工作呢？

- 1 有【跳答 Occu】                      2 無

**NonWork** 咁請問你嘅身份係乜嘢呢？【如受訪者不清楚自己身份，請讀出：咁你係學生、家務料理者、退休人士定係待業人士呢？】

- 1 學生    5 其他，請註明：\_\_\_\_\_ )  
 2 家務料理者／家庭主婦                      6 拒絕回答                                      )→ 完成訪問  
 3 退休人士    )  
 4 待業人士／失業人士                                      )

**Occu** 請問你現時嘅 **職位** 係乜嘢呢？【不可讀出答案】

- 01 經理及行政級人員                                      06 漁農業熟練工人  
 02 專業人員    07 工藝及有關人員  
 03 輔助專業人員                                      08 機台及機器操作員及裝配員  
 04 文員    09 非技術工人  
 05 服務工作及商店銷售人員                                      10 拒絕回答

**PerInc** 請問你嘅 **個人每月收入** 大約係幾多呢？

- 01 冇收入    06 \$20,000 – 24,999  
 02 \$4,999 或以下                                      07 \$25,000 – 49,999  
 03 \$5,000 – 9,999                                      08 \$50,000 或以上  
 04 \$10,000 – 14,999                                      09 拒絕回答  
 05 \$15,000 – 19,999

**【讀出】** 訪問已經完成，再一次多謝你嘅參與。



## Annex V – Coding Frame

Question No.	Label	Code	Group
<b>1</b>	Always	1	-
	Occasionally	2	-
	Seldom	3	-
	Never	4	-
	Refuse to answer	5	-
<b>2</b>	Always	1	-
	Occasionally	2	-
	Seldom	3	-
	Never	4	-
	Refuse to answer	5	-
<b>3</b>	Yes	1	-
	No	2	-
	Can't remember	3	Can't remember/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>4</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>5</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>6</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	



Question No.	Label	Code	Group
<b>7</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>8</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>9</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>10</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>11</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>12</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>13</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	



Question No.	Label	Code	Group
<b>14</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>15</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>16</b>	Agree	1	-
	Disagree	2	-
	Don't know/ No comment	3	Don't know/ No comment/ Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	4	
<b>17</b>	Very optimistic	1	Very optimistic/ Optimistic
	Optimistic	2	
	Neutral	3	-
	Pessimistic	4	Very pessimistic/ Pessimistic
	Very pessimistic	5	
	Don't know / No comment	6	Don't know / No comment / Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	7	
<b>18</b>	Very optimistic	1	Very optimistic/ Optimistic
	Optimistic	2	
	Neutral	3	-
	Pessimistic	4	Very pessimistic/ Pessimistic
	Very pessimistic	5	
	Don't know / No comment	6	Don't know / No comment / Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	7	
<b>S1</b>	Yes	1	-
	No	2	-
<b>Gender</b>	Male	1	-
	Female	2	-



Question No.	Label	Code	Group
<b>Age</b>	18 - 29	1	-
	30 - 39	2	-
	40 - 49	3	-
	50 - 59	4	-
	60 and above	5	-
<b>Educa</b>	Pre-school Education / No Schooling	1	Primary and below
	Primary School	2	
	Junior Secondary	3	Secondary / Matriculation
	Senior Secondary	4	
	Matriculation	5	
	Tertiary Education (Non-Degree Courses)	6	Tertiary
	Degree and above	7	
	Refuse to answer	8	-
<b>House</b>	Rented public housing	1	-
	Rented private housing	2	-
	Purchased public housing	3	Purchased housing / Others
	Purchased private housing	4	
	Temporary housing	5	
	Others (e.g. staff quarters)	6	
	Don't know / No idea	7	Don't know / No idea / Refuse to answer
	Refuse to answer	8	



Question No.	Label	Code	Group
<b>Dist</b>	Central & Western	01	-
	Wan Chai	02	-
	Eastern	03	-
	Southern	04	-
	Yau Tsim Mong	05	-
	Sham Shui Po	06	-
	Kowloon City	07	-
	Wong Tai Sin	08	-
	Kwun Tong	09	-
	Kwai Tsing	10	-
	Tsuen Wan	11	-
	Tuen Mun	12	-
	Yuen Long	13	-
	North	14	-
	Tai Po	15	-
	Sha Tin	16	-
	Sai Kung	17	-
	Islands	18	-
	Refuse to answer	19	-
<b>Workstat</b>	Yes	1	-
	No	2	-
<b>NonWork</b>	Student	1	-
	Homemaker	2	-
	Retired	3	-
	Unemployed	4	Unemployed / Others
	Others	5	
	Refuse to answer	6	-



Question No.	Label	Code	Group
<b>Occu</b>	Managers and administrators	01	Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional
	Professionals	02	
	Associate professionals	03	
	Clerks	04	Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker
	Service workers or shop sales workers	05	
	Agriculture or fisheries workers	06	Skilled and unskilled worker
	Craft or related workers	07	
	Plant and machine operators or assemblers	08	
	Elementary occupations	09	
	Refuse to answer	10	-
<b>PerInc</b>	No income	01	Below \$10,000
	\$4,999 and below	02	
	\$5,000 – \$9,999	03	
	\$10,000 – \$14,999	04	\$10,000 - \$24,999
	\$15,000 – \$19,999	05	
	\$20,000 – \$24,999	06	
	\$25,000 – \$49,999	07	\$25,000 and above
	\$50,000 and above	08	
	Refuse to answer	09	-



## Annex VI – Age Distribution of Respondents and Hong Kong Population

Hong Kong Resident Population of age 18 or above in 2008 (excluding Foreign Domestic Helpers)

Frequency	18 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 59	60+	Total
Male	535 835	468 176	594 962	516 644	541 711	2 657 328
Female	541 551	558 263	655 574	514 744	581 165	2 851 297
Total	1 077 386	1 026 439	1 250 536	1 031 388	1 122 876	5 508 625
Percentage	18 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 59	60+	Total
Male	9.7%	8.5%	10.8%	9.4%	9.8%	48.2%
Female	9.8%	10.1%	11.9%	9.3%	10.6%	51.8%
Total	19.6%	18.6%	22.7%	18.7%	20.4%	100.0%

### Distribution of age and gender in the sample

Frequency	18 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 59	60+	Total
Male	79	52	100	79	129	439
Female	62	102	141	114	145	564
Total	141	154	241	193	274	1 003
Percentage	18 - 29	30 - 39	40 - 49	50 - 59	60+	Total
Male	7.9%	5.2%	10.0%	7.9%	12.9%	43.8%
Female	6.2%	10.2%	14.1%	11.4%	14.5%	56.2%
Total	14.1%	15.4%	24.0%	19.2%	27.3%	100.0%





## Annex VII – Coefficient of Variation and Margin of Error by Question

Question	Major Response	Sample Estimate	Coefficient of Variation	Margin of Error at 95% Confidence Level
Q1 Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	Never	28.4%	5.0%	2.8%
Q2 Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	Occasionally	46.6%	3.4%	3.1%
Q3 Incidence of reading the consultation document	No	87.5%	1.2%	2.0%
Q4 Whether agreed that RTHK should continue to be a Government department in providing public broadcasting service	Agree	68.2%	2.2%	2.9%
Q5i Whether agreed that RTHK should fulfil the following public purposes - Sustaining citizenship and civil society	Agree	79.7%	1.6%	2.5%
Q5ii Whether agreed that RTHK should fulfil the following public purposes - Fostering social harmony and promoting pluralism	Agree	86.6%	1.2%	2.1%
Q5iii Whether agreed that RTHK should fulfil the following public purposes - Establishing education value and promoting lifelong learning	Agree	92.3%	0.9%	1.6%
Q5iv Whether agreed that RTHK should fulfil the following public purposes - Stimulating creativity and excellence to enrich the multi-cultural life of Hong Kong	Agree	86.9%	1.2%	2.1%
Q6 Whether agreed that RTHK should launch its own digital TV channels	Agree	66.9%	2.2%	2.9%
Q7 Whether agreed that RTHK should launch digital radio channels	Agree	67.1%	2.2%	2.9%
Q8 Whether agreed that the Government should provide new resources for RTHK to expand its service scope	Agree	75.6%	1.8%	2.7%



Question	Major Response	Sample Estimate	Coefficient of Variation	Margin of Error at 95% Confidence Level
Q9i Whether agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas - Promoting and facilitating local original content production	Agree	91.6%	1.0%	1.7%
Q9ii Whether agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas - Broadcasting programmes produced or co-produced by Mainland broadcasters to enhance our understanding of developments in the Mainland	Agree	73.2%	1.9%	2.7%
Q9iii Whether agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas - Broadcasting programmes produced or co-produced by international broadcasters to broaden our international horizon	Agree	82.4%	1.5%	2.4%
Q9iv Whether agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas - Encouraging community participation in broadcasting	Agree	75.0%	1.8%	2.7%
Q10 Whether agreed that RTHK should establish a Community Broadcasting Involvement Fund to encourage community organisations and NGOs to participate in broadcasting	Agree	65.0%	2.3%	3.0%
Q11 Whether agreed that RTHK should enhance its corporate governance and be accountable to the public	Agree	82.2%	1.5%	2.4%
Q12 Whether agreed that the establishment of a broad-based Board of Advisors could help enhance the corporate governance of RTHK and its accountability to the public	Agree	69.1%	2.1%	2.9%
Q13 Whether agreed that the Board of Advisors should not comprise serving ExCo and LegCo Members, public and judicial officers	Agree	68.2%	2.2%	2.9%



Question	Major Response	Sample Estimate	Coefficient of Variation	Margin of Error at 95% Confidence Level
Q14 Whether agreed that RTHK should publish annual report to the public on its achievement towards a set of performance indicators with a view to enhancing transparency and accountability	Agree	85.4%	1.3%	2.2%
Q15 Whether agreed that RTHK's programme production had been carrying out with editorial independence	Agree	83.5%	1.4%	2.3%
Q16 Whether agreed that the Charter to be signed by the Chief Secretary for Administration would give further safeguard to the editorial independence of RTHK	Agree	68.6%	2.1%	2.9%
Q17 Whether optimistic towards the editorial independence of RTHK in future	Neutral	46.9%	3.4%	3.1%
Q18 Whether optimistic towards the corporate governance of RTHK in future	Neutral	52.7%	3.0%	3.1%



## Annex VIII – Summary of Chi-square Test of Significance

### Q1 Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
Gender	5.6991	4	0.2228
* Age	79.1847	16	< 0.0001
* Education level	20.9201	8	0.0074
Housing type	12.7984	8	0.1190
* Working status	13.5110	4	0.0090
* Occupation (working only)	14.1094	6	0.0284
* Non-working status (non-working only)	42.8057	12	< 0.0001
* Personal monthly income (working only)	19.0628	6	0.0041
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	181.4742	12	< 0.0001
* Incidence of reading the consultation document	58.4714	4	< 0.0001

### Q2 Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
Gender	3.7028	4	0.4477
* Age	47.2453	16	0.0001
* Education level	40.0009	8	< 0.0001
* Housing type	17.3578	8	0.0266
* Working status	33.5838	4	0.0003
Occupation (working only)	3.9398	6	0.6848
* Non-working status (non-working only)	22.1242	12	0.0362
* Personal monthly income (working only)	13.9378	6	0.0303
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	180.4288	12	< 0.0001
* Incidence of reading the consultation document	32.9046	4	0.0002

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



## Q3 Incidence of reading the consultation document

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	9.4632	2	0.0088
* Age	56.3901	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	17.6708	4	0.0014
Housing type	3.7247	4	0.4446
* Working status	13.5983	2	0.0011
Occupation (working only)	7.0711	4	0.1322
Non-working status (non-working only)	12.1627	6	0.0584
* Personal monthly income (working only)	14.9696	4	0.0048
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	50.1009	6	< 0.0001
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	39.1796	6	0.0020

## Q4 Whether agreed that RTHK should continue to be a Government department in providing public broadcasting service

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	30.9223	2	0.0002
* Age	27.4680	8	0.0006
* Education level	49.7560	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	2.9281	4	0.5699
* Working status	35.2019	2	0.0013
Occupation (working only)	8.5175	4	0.0744
Non-working status (non-working only)	3.1007	6	0.7961
Personal monthly income (working only)	4.2223	4	0.3768
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	28.2133	6	0.0001
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	36.8579	6	0.0007
* Incidence of reading the consultation document	7.1936	2	0.0274

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



Q5i Whether agreed that RTHK should fulfil the following public purposes - Sustaining citizenship and civil society

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	17.0827	2	0.0002
* Age	51.4506	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	81.8044	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	7.3498	4	0.1185
* Working status	60.3301	2	< 0.0001
* Occupation (working only)	10.7104	4	0.0300
Non-working status (non-working only)	3.1932	6	0.7843
Personal monthly income (working only)	9.0036	4	0.0610
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	27.6197	6	0.0001
Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	11.0760	6	0.0861
Incidence of reading the consultation document	1.5805	2	0.4538

Q5ii Whether agreed that RTHK should fulfil the following public purposes - Fostering social harmony and promoting pluralism

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	9.6848	2	0.0079
* Age	67.6748	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	77.1832	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	4.9147	4	0.2962
* Working status	33.3131	2	0.0005
Occupation (working only)	2.5322	4	0.6389
Non-working status (non-working only)	8.4830	6	0.2048
* Personal monthly income (working only)	11.3394	4	0.0230
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	21.5175	6	0.0015
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	17.4851	6	0.0077
Incidence of reading the consultation document	0.5407	2	0.7631

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



Q5iii Whether agreed that RTHK should fulfil the following public purposes - Establishing education value and promoting lifelong learning

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
Gender	4.5322	2	0.1037
* Age	29.4226	8	0.0003
* Education level	40.0662	4	< 0.0001
* Housing type	12.0793	4	0.0168
* Working status	15.4028	2	0.0005
Occupation (working only)	4.5118	4	0.3412
Non-working status (non-working only)	4.4987	6	0.6095
Personal monthly income (working only)	6.3010	4	0.1778
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	18.1613	6	0.0058
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	35.3214	6	0.0004
Incidence of reading the consultation document	1.2950	2	0.5233

Q5iv Whether agreed that RTHK should fulfil the following public purposes - Stimulating creativity and excellence to enrich the multi-cultural life of Hong Kong

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	8.6031	2	0.0136
* Age	46.8511	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	63.7080	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	9.4347	4	0.0511
* Working status	28.5024	2	< 0.0001
* Occupation (working only)	12.2777	4	0.0154
Non-working status (non-working only)	9.8353	6	0.1318
Personal monthly income (working only)	8.7066	4	0.0689
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	18.5239	6	0.0050
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	26.3841	6	0.0002
Incidence of reading the consultation document	0.1798	2	0.9140

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



## Q6 Whether agreed that RTHK should launch its own digital TV channels

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	17.9648	2	0.0001
* Age	46.7763	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	32.1844	4	0.0001
Housing type	1.8265	4	0.7676
* Working status	13.8966	2	0.0010
Occupation (working only)	2.3427	4	0.6730
* Non-working status (non-working only)	16.4919	6	0.0113
Personal monthly income (working only)	6.6069	4	0.1582
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	19.7909	6	0.0030
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	17.9471	6	0.0064
Incidence of reading the consultation document	1.8101	2	0.4045

## Q7 Whether agreed that RTHK should launch digital radio channels

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	26.6653	2	< 0.0001
* Age	29.2141	8	0.0003
* Education level	26.3098	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	9.1850	4	0.0566
* Working status	23.0186	2	< 0.0001
Occupation (working only)	6.0239	4	0.1974
* Non-working status (non-working only)	16.6299	6	0.0107
Personal monthly income (working only)	9.1547	4	0.0574
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	35.0134	6	0.0003
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	17.0593	6	0.0091
* Incidence of reading the consultation document	6.5218	2	0.0384

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.





Q8 Whether agreed that the Government should provide new resources for RTHK to expand its service scope

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	8.0225	2	0.0181
* Age	19.0287	8	0.0147
* Education level	34.6853	4	0.0005
Housing type	0.8140	4	0.9366
* Working status	7.9753	2	0.0185
* Occupation (working only)	13.1143	4	0.0107
Non-working status (non-working only)	2.9430	6	0.8160
Personal monthly income (working only)	5.2324	4	0.2643
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	35.7328	6	0.0004
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	19.3036	6	0.0037
Incidence of reading the consultation document	0.5914	2	0.7440

Q9i Whether agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas - Promoting and facilitating local original content production

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	19.1883	2	0.0001
* Age	68.0700	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	85.5095	4	< 0.0001
* Housing type	16.3108	4	0.0026
* Working status	36.6002	2	0.0023
Occupation (working only)	6.1236	4	0.1901
Non-working status (non-working only)	5.5860	6	0.4711
Personal monthly income (working only)	3.7442	4	0.4418
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	16.5017	6	0.0113
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	16.4374	6	0.0116
Incidence of reading the consultation document	1.7721	2	0.4123

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



Q9ii Whether agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas - Broadcasting programmes produced or co-produced by Mainland broadcasters to enhance our understanding of developments in the Mainland

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	12.2755	2	0.0022
* Age	42.9625	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	49.5824	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	4.4807	4	0.3449
* Working status	21.0593	2	< 0.0001
* Occupation (working only)	10.6746	4	0.0305
* Non-working status (non-working only)	13.2737	6	0.0389
Personal monthly income (working only)	8.4631	4	0.0760
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	28.9915	6	0.0001
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	27.7996	6	0.0001
Incidence of reading the consultation document	1.2872	2	0.5254

Q9iii Whether agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas - Broadcasting programmes produced or co-produced by international broadcasters to broaden our international horizon

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	7.5444	2	0.0230
* Age	48.7318	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	83.3803	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	2.6980	4	0.6096
* Working status	33.1577	2	0.0005
* Occupation (working only)	21.6669	4	0.0002
Non-working status (non-working only)	8.6912	6	0.1917
* Personal monthly income (working only)	21.9753	4	0.0002
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	26.1109	6	0.0002
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	38.9806	6	0.0018
Incidence of reading the consultation document	1.7334	2	0.4203

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



Q9iv Whether agreed that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas - Encouraging community participation in broadcasting

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	15.5829	2	0.0004
* Age	92.2122	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	81.4484	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	6.3053	4	0.1775
* Working status	38.8635	2	0.0055
Occupation (working only)	4.4344	4	0.3504
* Non-working status (non-working only)	16.6278	6	0.0108
* Personal monthly income (working only)	10.5327	4	0.0324
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	18.9025	6	0.0043
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	14.2593	6	0.0269
Incidence of reading the consultation document	2.0422	2	0.3602

Q10 Whether agreed that RTHK should establish a Community Broadcasting Involvement Fund to encourage community organisations and NGOs to participate in broadcasting

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	8.4522	2	0.0146
* Age	50.5600	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	60.8907	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	4.1433	4	0.3870
* Working status	34.1543	2	0.0008
* Occupation (working only)	11.8094	4	0.0188
* Non-working status (non-working only)	14.1092	6	0.0284
* Personal monthly income (working only)	14.9980	4	0.0047
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	15.7812	6	0.0150
Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	6.1294	6	0.4089
Incidence of reading the consultation document	1.0433	2	0.5935

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



Q11 Whether agreed that RTHK should enhance its corporate governance and be accountable to the public

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	24.7512	2	< 0.0001
* Age	50.4232	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	55.2893	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	8.4956	4	0.0750
* Working status	44.9671	2	< 0.0001
Occupation (working only)	4.8007	4	0.3084
Non-working status (non-working only)	1.9582	6	0.9235
Personal monthly income (working only)	2.8445	4	0.5842
Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	12.1615	6	0.0585
Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	12.1401	6	0.0589
Incidence of reading the consultation document	0.1565	2	0.9247

Q12 Whether agreed that the establishment of a broad-based Board of Advisors could help enhance the corporate governance of RTHK and its accountability to the public

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	15.1256	2	0.0005
* Age	67.4889	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	64.3092	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	4.5119	4	0.3412
* Working status	32.1414	2	0.0003
* Occupation (working only)	16.6140	4	0.0023
* Non-working status (non-working only)	16.8578	6	0.0098
* Personal monthly income (working only)	15.0114	4	0.0047
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	19.5978	6	0.0033
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	15.0188	6	0.0201
Incidence of reading the consultation document	1.3609	2	0.5064

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



Q13 Whether agreed that the Board of Advisors should not comprise serving ExCo and LegCo Members, public and judicial officers

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	17.7913	2	0.0001
* Age	47.9058	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	50.9756	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	9.0866	4	0.0590
* Working status	16.2743	2	0.0003
Occupation (working only)	0.5841	4	0.9648
Non-working status (non-working only)	10.7744	6	0.0956
* Personal monthly income (working only)	12.0456	4	0.0170
Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	7.9079	6	0.2449
Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	6.3578	6	0.3843
* Incidence of reading the consultation document	6.2610	2	0.0437

Q14 Whether agreed that RTHK should publish annual report to the public on its achievement towards a set of performance indicators with a view to enhancing transparency and accountability

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	13.4937	2	0.0012
* Age	68.9515	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	90.3533	4	< 0.0001
* Housing type	17.5377	4	0.0015
* Working status	40.7266	2	< 0.0001
Occupation (working only)	7.9786	4	0.0924
Non-working status (non-working only)	8.1208	6	0.2294
* Personal monthly income (working only)	11.9589	4	0.0177
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	34.1275	6	0.0002
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	29.8323	6	0.0001
Incidence of reading the consultation document	4.9014	2	0.0862

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



Q15 Whether agreed that RTHK's programme production had been carrying out with editorial independence

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	34.8618	2	0.0011
* Age	54.7276	8	< 0.0001
* Education level	65.1700	4	< 0.0001
* Housing type	11.1803	4	0.0246
* Working status	37.1524	2	0.0029
Occupation (working only)	7.4483	4	0.1140
Non-working status (non-working only)	3.5618	6	0.7357
Personal monthly income (working only)	9.1527	4	0.0574
Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	11.6098	6	0.0713
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	18.5030	6	0.0051
Incidence of reading the consultation document	3.5227	2	0.1718

Q16 Whether agreed that the Charter to be signed by the Chief Secretary for Administration would give further safeguard to the editorial independence of RTHK

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	16.8959	2	0.0002
* Age	28.9060	8	0.0003
* Education level	43.9263	4	< 0.0001
Housing type	7.6955	4	0.1034
* Working status	15.5406	2	0.0004
Occupation (working only)	4.9224	4	0.2954
Non-working status (non-working only)	8.1985	6	0.2239
* Personal monthly income (working only)	14.4790	4	0.0059
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	17.2198	6	0.0085
Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	6.6677	6	0.3527
Incidence of reading the consultation document	2.3221	2	0.3132

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



## Q17 Whether optimistic towards the editorial independence of RTHK in future

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	23.0938	3	< 0.0001
* Age	73.2683	12	< 0.0001
* Education level	76.8158	6	< 0.0001
* Housing type	27.4309	6	0.0001
* Working status	36.0391	3	0.0013
Occupation (working only)	3.8232	6	0.7006
* Non-working status (non-working only)	20.0961	9	0.0173
Personal monthly income (working only)	10.5220	6	0.1043
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	20.8308	9	0.0134
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	24.1118	9	0.0041
Incidence of reading the consultation document	6.1774	3	0.1033

## Q18 Whether optimistic towards the corporate governance of RTHK in future

Profile	$\chi^2$ statistic	Degrees of freedom	p-value
* Gender	15.3130	3	0.0016
* Age	84.4029	12	< 0.0001
* Education level	67.0408	6	< 0.0001
* Housing type	18.9875	6	0.0042
* Working status	35.9233	3	0.0013
Occupation (working only)	8.2603	6	0.2197
* Non-working status (non-working only)	23.0683	9	0.0060
Personal monthly income (working only)	5.5640	6	0.4738
* Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes	25.0239	9	0.0029
* Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes	25.6099	9	0.0024
Incidence of reading the consultation document	7.0720	3	0.0696

\* Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.



## Annex IX – Cross Tabulation by Respondents' Profile

<b>Q1 How frequent do you listen to RTHK radio programmes?</b>							
	<b>Always</b>	<b>Occasion-ally</b>	<b>Seldom</b>	<b>Never</b>	<b>Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	24.5%	24.1%	23.0%	28.4%	0.1%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender</b>							
<b>Male</b>	23.8%	25.3%	24.8%	25.8%	0.3%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	25.2%	22.9%	21.2%	30.7%	-	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>							
<b>18 – 29</b>	6.2%	24.8%	29.3%	39.2%	0.6%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	18.0%	24.9%	24.7%	32.4%	-	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	27.4%	28.6%	22.2%	21.8%	-	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	35.4%	19.3%	21.9%	23.5%	-	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	34.8%	21.9%	17.2%	26.2%	-	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>							
<b>Primary and below</b>	29.3%	19.4%	20.2%	31.1%	-	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	26.4%	25.8%	20.5%	27.1%	0.2%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	17.0%	24.6%	29.4%	29.0%	-	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>							
<b>Rented public housing</b>	21.8%	24.6%	24.2%	29.4%	-	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	19.2%	24.0%	17.1%	39.6%	-	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	26.9%	24.6%	23.1%	25.2%	0.2%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>							
<b>Working</b>	22.9%	24.4%	26.8%	25.9%	-	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	26.4%	23.6%	18.2%	31.5%	0.3%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only) *</b>							
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	19.8%	30.2%	24.4%	25.5%	-	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	21.5%	22.9%	29.4%	26.2%	-	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	34.5%	17.2%	27.6%	20.7%	-	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.





<b>Q1 How frequent do you listen to RTHK radio programmes?</b>							
	<b>Always</b>	<b>Occasion-ally</b>	<b>Seldom</b>	<b>Never</b>	<b>Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	24.5%	24.1%	23.0%	28.4%	0.1%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>							
<b>Student</b>	5.7%	28.6%	27.2%	38.4%	-	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	31.8%	22.0%	15.8%	30.4%	-	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	34.1%	22.1%	16.8%	27.0%	-	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	9.7%	28.5%	17.9%	40.6%	3.3%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>							
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	28.5%	12.2%	31.3%	27.9%	-	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	21.8%	30.0%	24.4%	23.8%	-	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	19.5%	29.1%	28.3%	23.0%	-	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>							
<b>Always</b>	44.7%	23.7%	15.0%	16.1%	0.5%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	24.0%	27.2%	24.7%	24.2%	-	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	8.2%	24.6%	33.6%	33.6%	-	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	12.8%	7.1%	9.9%	70.2%	-	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document *</b>							
<b>Yes</b>	49.9%	25.8%	13.9%	9.1%	1.3%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	21.4%	24.1%	24.2%	30.4%	-	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q2 How frequent do you watch RTHK TV programmes?</b>							
	<b>Always</b>	<b>Occasion-ally</b>	<b>Seldom</b>	<b>Never</b>	<b>Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	23.2%	46.6%	20.5%	9.3%	0.4%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender</b>							
<b>Male</b>	21.4%	47.3%	22.1%	8.6%	0.6%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	24.9%	46.0%	19.0%	9.9%	0.3%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>							
<b>18 – 29</b>	13.0%	52.9%	23.7%	10.3%	-	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	14.9%	50.0%	27.0%	8.2%	-	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	27.4%	47.2%	17.3%	7.6%	0.5%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	25.3%	44.7%	20.8%	8.6%	0.6%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	33.9%	38.7%	14.6%	11.6%	1.1%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>							
<b>Primary and below</b>	30.4%	35.4%	19.3%	13.9%	1.0%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	25.2%	48.5%	17.0%	8.9%	0.4%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	14.5%	51.3%	27.4%	6.6%	0.3%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type *</b>							
<b>Rented public housing</b>	25.3%	42.4%	18.8%	13.6%	-	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	15.3%	50.1%	26.1%	8.5%	-	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	24.2%	48.3%	19.2%	7.5%	0.8%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>							
<b>Working</b>	17.9%	51.3%	23.4%	7.2%	0.2%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	29.8%	40.9%	16.8%	11.8%	0.7%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>							
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	17.9%	51.6%	25.2%	5.4%	-	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	17.9%	56.0%	20.5%	5.6%	-	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	21.2%	47.2%	23.0%	8.6%	-	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q2 How frequent do you watch RTHK TV programmes?</b>							
	<b>Always</b>	<b>Occasion-ally</b>	<b>Seldom</b>	<b>Never</b>	<b>Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	23.2%	46.6%	20.5%	9.3%	0.4%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>							
<b>Student</b>	11.4%	50.7%	23.8%	14.1%	-	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	35.0%	36.0%	14.9%	13.7%	0.4%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	34.3%	39.5%	16.2%	9.1%	1.0%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	22.7%	46.8%	17.1%	10.6%	2.9%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>							
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	24.6%	42.8%	25.9%	6.6%	-	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	16.2%	57.5%	18.9%	7.3%	-	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	16.9%	51.4%	28.9%	2.8%	-	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>							
<b>Always</b>	42.3%	45.7%	6.9%	4.8%	0.3%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	22.8%	52.7%	21.0%	2.7%	0.8%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	15.2%	50.1%	29.9%	4.0%	0.8%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	13.2%	39.7%	24.2%	22.9%	-	100.0%	284
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document *</b>							
<b>Yes</b>	43.1%	45.8%	8.0%	3.1%	-	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	20.5%	47.1%	21.7%	10.2%	0.5%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q3 Have you read the consultation document on RTHK released in October?</b>					
	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Can't remember / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	9.6%	87.5%	2.9%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	11.9%	86.3%	1.8%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	7.4%	88.6%	3.9%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	6.7%	92.7%	0.6%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	5.3%	93.1%	1.6%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	8.3%	91.7%	-	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	13.9%	82.8%	3.3%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	13.9%	77.1%	9.1%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	9.7%	83.3%	6.9%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	9.8%	88.4%	1.8%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	9.5%	89.3%	1.2%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	10.7%	86.2%	3.1%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	5.2%	92.7%	2.1%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	10.9%	86.2%	2.9%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	9.9%	89.0%	1.1%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	9.3%	85.7%	5.0%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	14.2%	85.4%	0.4%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	8.5%	90.7%	0.8%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	9.0%	88.3%	2.7%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q3 Have you read the consultation document on RTHK released in October?</b>					
	Yes	No	Can't remember / Refuse to answer	Total	Sample size
<b>Total</b>	9.6%	87.5%	2.9%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	5.7%	94.3%	-	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	8.9%	87.5%	3.6%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	11.8%	79.5%	8.8%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	8.3%	86.6%	5.1%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	6.8%	89.8%	3.4%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	9.9%	89.8%	0.3%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	16.8%	83.2%	-	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	19.6%	76.3%	4.1%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	10.3%	87.5%	2.2%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	5.8%	92.3%	1.8%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	3.1%	93.7%	3.2%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	17.9%	77.2%	4.9%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	9.4%	88.4%	2.2%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	3.8%	92.7%	3.5%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	3.2%	96.8%	-	100.0%	93

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q4 Do you agree that RTHK should continue to be a Government department in providing public broadcasting services?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	68.2%	11.4%	20.4%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	71.4%	14.8%	13.8%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	65.2%	8.2%	26.6%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	68.9%	11.7%	19.4%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	65.4%	17.1%	17.5%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	73.1%	9.9%	17.0%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	69.6%	13.3%	17.1%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	63.3%	5.9%	30.8%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	58.2%	5.7%	36.1%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	72.8%	10.5%	16.7%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	66.5%	17.5%	16.1%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	67.2%	9.3%	23.5%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	69.1%	11.1%	19.8%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	68.4%	12.3%	19.3%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	71.5%	14.3%	14.2%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	64.1%	7.8%	28.2%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	67.6%	19.6%	12.9%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	71.1%	12.1%	16.8%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	78.4%	10.0%	11.6%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q4 Do you agree that RTHK should continue to be a Government department in providing public broadcasting services?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	68.2%	11.4%	20.4%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	64.7%	10.1%	25.3%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	64.3%	7.4%	28.3%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	66.1%	6.3%	27.7%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	54.2%	11.9%	33.8%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	73.5%	11.1%	15.4%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	73.8%	13.1%	13.1%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	70.1%	19.3%	10.6%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	76.0%	11.5%	12.5%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	73.0%	11.5%	15.6%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	65.8%	10.6%	23.6%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	59.1%	12.0%	28.9%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	73.0%	10.7%	16.3%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	71.1%	12.2%	16.8%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	65.8%	11.9%	22.3%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	49.0%	7.9%	43.1%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document *</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	78.8%	11.1%	10.1%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	67.2%	11.4%	21.4%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q5i Do you agree that RTHK should fulfil the following purposes? - Sustaining citizenship and civil society</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	79.7%	5.5%	14.8%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	83.5%	6.4%	10.1%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	76.1%	4.7%	19.2%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	84.0%	6.4%	9.6%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	80.6%	7.1%	12.4%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	87.4%	5.2%	7.4%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	78.4%	5.8%	15.8%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	67.3%	3.3%	29.4%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	63.3%	2.1%	34.6%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	82.8%	6.1%	11.1%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	85.4%	7.0%	7.6%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	77.1%	4.2%	18.7%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	82.8%	5.6%	11.6%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	81.1%	6.4%	12.5%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	85.3%	7.4%	7.3%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	72.6%	3.2%	24.2%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only) *</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	86.2%	10.6%	3.2%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	83.4%	7.2%	9.4%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	88.7%	3.4%	8.0%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.





<b>Q5i Do you agree that RTHK should fulfil the following purposes? - Sustaining citizenship and civil society</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	79.7%	5.5%	14.8%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	78.1%	4.5%	17.3%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	71.0%	2.7%	26.3%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	71.2%	3.2%	25.6%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	77.2%	3.2%	19.6%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	85.8%	4.7%	9.6%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	88.1%	8.3%	3.6%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	81.8%	10.4%	7.7%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	84.8%	3.1%	12.1%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	83.7%	5.5%	10.7%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	82.9%	4.8%	12.3%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	69.5%	8.2%	22.3%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes</b>					
<b>Always</b>	79.2%	4.7%	16.1%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	81.8%	6.0%	12.2%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	80.7%	4.9%	14.4%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	68.9%	6.4%	24.7%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	84.9%	5.2%	10.0%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	79.8%	5.7%	14.5%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q5ii Do you agree that RTHK should fulfil the following purposes? - Fostering social harmony and promoting pluralism</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	86.6%	3.6%	9.8%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	89.5%	3.8%	6.7%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	83.9%	3.5%	12.6%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	91.7%	2.7%	5.6%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	90.1%	5.3%	4.6%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	90.6%	3.6%	5.9%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	88.1%	4.1%	7.8%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	72.8%	2.6%	24.6%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	71.0%	2.9%	26.1%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	90.1%	3.7%	6.2%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	91.4%	4.2%	4.4%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	84.2%	4.2%	11.6%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	88.5%	4.9%	6.6%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	88.8%	2.9%	8.4%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	91.7%	3.3%	5.0%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	80.3%	4.0%	15.7%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	93.6%	3.8%	2.6%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	91.6%	3.3%	5.1%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	93.8%	1.7%	4.5%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q5ii Do you agree that RTHK should fulfil the following purposes? - Fostering social harmony and promoting pluralism</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	86.6%	3.6%	9.8%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	83.6%	6.3%	10.2%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	79.2%	4.7%	16.1%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	77.3%	3.3%	19.4%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	93.0%	-	7.0%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	92.1%	1.5%	6.4%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	95.1%	2.8%	2.2%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	90.4%	7.0%	2.6%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	87.7%	2.5%	9.8%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	91.6%	2.5%	5.9%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	89.4%	3.0%	7.6%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	79.1%	6.1%	14.8%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	85.8%	2.8%	11.4%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	89.0%	4.0%	7.0%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	87.3%	4.2%	8.5%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	77.2%	2.7%	20.1%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	89.0%	3.6%	7.4%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	86.6%	3.7%	9.7%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q5iii Do you agree that RTHK should fulfil the following purposes? - Establishing education value and promoting lifelong learning</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	92.3%	3.2%	4.6%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender</b>					
<b>Male</b>	92.9%	3.8%	3.3%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	91.7%	2.5%	5.7%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	92.4%	3.1%	4.5%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	94.7%	3.2%	2.1%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	93.0%	5.1%	1.9%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	93.8%	2.8%	3.5%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	87.7%	1.5%	10.9%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	86.6%	1.4%	12.0%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	95.3%	2.7%	2.0%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	90.8%	5.4%	3.8%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type *</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	90.3%	3.4%	6.3%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	86.8%	7.1%	6.1%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	94.5%	2.5%	3.0%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	93.8%	3.8%	2.4%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	90.3%	2.3%	7.3%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	91.2%	6.4%	2.4%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	94.2%	3.9%	1.9%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	97.1%	1.6%	1.3%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q5iii Do you agree that RTHK should fulfil the following purposes? - Establishing education value and promoting lifelong learning</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	92.3%	3.2%	4.6%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	89.8%	4.0%	6.2%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	92.8%	1.8%	5.4%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	88.1%	2.8%	9.1%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	89.4%	-	10.6%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	95.1%	2.4%	2.5%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	95.4%	4.2%	0.5%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	91.9%	7.0%	1.1%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	93.6%	2.4%	4.0%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	94.5%	3.4%	2.1%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	94.7%	2.5%	2.8%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	87.2%	4.2%	8.6%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	93.1%	1.7%	5.2%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	94.6%	3.2%	2.3%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	90.7%	5.0%	4.3%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	81.5%	2.9%	15.6%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	95.1%	1.5%	3.4%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	92.0%	3.4%	4.5%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q5iv Do you agree that RTHK should fulfil the following purposes? - Stimulating creativity and excellence to enrich the multi-cultural life of Hong Kong</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	86.9%	4.4%	8.7%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	87.0%	6.0%	7.0%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	86.8%	2.9%	10.3%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	90.7%	3.3%	5.9%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	88.0%	6.0%	6.0%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	90.5%	5.0%	4.5%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	89.0%	4.5%	6.5%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	76.3%	3.3%	20.4%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	76.9%	1.1%	22.0%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	89.6%	5.6%	4.8%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	89.8%	4.6%	5.5%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	84.5%	3.2%	12.3%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	87.7%	3.1%	9.2%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	88.7%	4.9%	6.4%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	90.6%	5.0%	4.4%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	82.3%	3.7%	13.9%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only) *</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	86.3%	9.9%	3.9%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	94.4%	3.1%	2.5%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	91.1%	3.2%	5.7%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q5iv Do you agree that RTHK should fulfil the following purposes? - Stimulating creativity and excellence to enrich the multi-cultural life of Hong Kong</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	86.9%	4.4%	8.7%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	84.8%	4.0%	11.1%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	85.0%	2.3%	12.6%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	75.5%	5.6%	19.0%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	92.7%	2.9%	4.4%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	93.3%	2.9%	3.8%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	93.0%	4.7%	2.2%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	85.6%	10.7%	3.7%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	90.6%	3.2%	6.2%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	89.9%	3.8%	6.4%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	88.6%	3.1%	8.3%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	79.8%	7.1%	13.1%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	88.7%	3.1%	8.2%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	89.1%	4.7%	6.3%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	84.7%	6.3%	9.0%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	75.8%	2.6%	21.7%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	85.7%	5.1%	9.2%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	87.2%	4.5%	8.3%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q6 Do you agree that RTHK should launch digital TV channels?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	66.9%	13.0%	20.1%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	72.2%	13.2%	14.6%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	62.0%	12.8%	25.2%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	70.5%	15.5%	14.0%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	62.2%	17.3%	20.5%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	72.5%	13.0%	14.5%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	72.8%	11.2%	16.0%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	56.1%	8.4%	35.5%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	53.5%	12.3%	34.2%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	69.2%	13.5%	17.4%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	72.0%	13.0%	15.0%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	64.2%	14.8%	21.0%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	69.4%	12.3%	18.3%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	68.7%	12.5%	18.8%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	68.7%	15.0%	16.2%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	64.6%	10.5%	24.9%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	69.9%	13.5%	16.6%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	69.9%	14.3%	15.9%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	65.1%	19.7%	15.2%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.





<b>Q6 Do you agree that RTHK should launch digital TV channels?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	66.9%	13.0%	20.1%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>					
<b>Student</b>	77.4%	14.2%	8.4%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	61.7%	11.8%	26.4%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	60.0%	8.4%	31.6%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	73.4%	6.5%	20.2%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	64.8%	15.8%	19.4%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	72.4%	16.3%	11.3%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	74.8%	11.4%	13.8%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	68.8%	14.5%	16.7%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	73.6%	12.7%	13.6%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	66.8%	12.9%	20.3%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	59.9%	12.0%	28.0%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	69.4%	11.6%	19.1%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	69.9%	13.2%	16.9%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	64.7%	11.8%	23.5%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	49.6%	18.9%	31.6%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	73.4%	10.2%	16.5%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	66.6%	13.2%	20.1%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q7 Do you agree that RTHK should launch digital radio channels?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	67.1%	11.2%	21.6%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	73.8%	11.5%	14.7%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	61.0%	11.0%	28.0%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	68.3%	13.1%	18.5%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	67.6%	12.3%	20.0%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	74.0%	10.4%	15.5%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	68.3%	12.2%	19.5%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	56.9%	8.4%	34.8%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	55.1%	10.0%	34.9%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	70.4%	11.9%	17.7%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	69.6%	11.3%	19.2%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	61.3%	15.3%	23.4%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	67.9%	9.6%	22.5%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	71.0%	9.7%	19.3%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	68.6%	14.3%	17.0%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	65.3%	7.4%	27.3%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	73.5%	11.9%	14.6%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	63.3%	16.2%	20.6%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	70.3%	16.2%	13.5%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q7 Do you agree that RTHK should launch digital radio channels?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	67.1%	11.2%	21.6%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>					
<b>Student</b>	81.4%	8.5%	10.2%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	59.7%	8.4%	31.9%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	64.9%	7.8%	27.4%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	66.0%	-	34.0%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	60.7%	16.8%	22.5%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	73.6%	13.1%	13.3%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	69.9%	17.0%	13.2%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	74.9%	8.9%	16.2%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	73.9%	10.3%	15.9%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	67.8%	12.1%	20.1%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	54.5%	13.4%	32.1%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	68.6%	10.8%	20.7%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	71.5%	10.7%	17.7%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	61.9%	11.0%	27.2%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	53.1%	16.1%	30.8%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document *</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	70.4%	16.8%	12.8%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	66.7%	10.9%	22.3%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q8 Do you agree that the Government should provide new resources for RTHK to expand its service scope?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	75.6%	13.2%	11.2%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	78.3%	13.4%	8.3%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	73.0%	13.1%	13.9%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	76.1%	13.1%	10.7%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	69.4%	20.1%	10.4%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	78.1%	12.4%	9.5%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	78.7%	12.7%	8.7%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	75.0%	8.4%	16.6%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	71.3%	8.4%	20.3%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	80.2%	12.9%	6.9%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	69.9%	17.7%	12.4%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	76.1%	13.0%	10.9%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	78.7%	9.8%	11.5%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	77.4%	12.1%	10.5%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	75.9%	15.0%	9.1%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	75.2%	11.0%	13.8%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only) *</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	70.4%	21.4%	8.2%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	75.7%	14.0%	10.3%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	86.2%	7.1%	6.6%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q8 Do you agree that the Government should provide new resources for RTHK to expand its service scope?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	75.6%	13.2%	11.2%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	75.2%	12.5%	12.4%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	72.2%	13.0%	14.7%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	78.3%	8.5%	13.2%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	80.7%	9.5%	9.8%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	80.5%	10.7%	8.8%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	77.4%	15.1%	7.5%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	72.4%	21.0%	6.7%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	83.8%	8.9%	7.3%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	79.2%	13.8%	6.9%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	77.5%	11.7%	10.7%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	64.1%	17.7%	18.2%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	78.4%	10.2%	11.5%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	79.1%	11.9%	9.1%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	71.0%	16.7%	12.3%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	60.7%	19.8%	19.4%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	76.7%	14.6%	8.8%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	75.3%	13.4%	11.2%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q9i Do you agree that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas? - Promoting and facilitating local original content production</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	91.6%	2.3%	6.2%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	95.5%	1.5%	3.0%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	87.9%	3.0%	9.1%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	95.1%	0.8%	4.1%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	92.6%	4.1%	3.4%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	97.2%	0.4%	2.4%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	92.2%	4.3%	3.5%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	80.5%	2.2%	17.3%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	77.2%	3.2%	19.6%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	94.1%	2.0%	3.8%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	97.7%	1.8%	0.6%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type *</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	87.3%	2.4%	10.3%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	87.2%	2.4%	10.4%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	94.2%	2.0%	3.8%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	96.1%	1.7%	2.2%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	86.0%	2.9%	11.1%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	97.1%	2.0%	0.9%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	95.0%	2.5%	2.5%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	95.7%	-	4.3%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q9i Do you agree that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas? - Promoting and facilitating local original content production</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	91.6%	2.3%	6.2%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	93.3%	-	6.7%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	85.6%	3.3%	11.1%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	82.6%	3.8%	13.6%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	88.2%	3.2%	8.6%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	95.8%	1.1%	3.0%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	95.4%	1.8%	2.7%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	97.2%	2.8%	-	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	91.8%	2.9%	5.3%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	91.8%	3.6%	4.6%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	95.6%	0.4%	4.0%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	87.9%	2.1%	10.0%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	93.0%	2.3%	4.7%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	93.4%	1.7%	4.9%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	90.2%	2.9%	6.9%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	81.6%	3.5%	14.9%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	95.3%	0.9%	3.8%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	91.6%	2.4%	6.0%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q9ii Do you agree that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas? - Broadcasting programmes produced or co-produced by Mainland broadcasters to enhance our understanding of developments in the Mainland</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	73.2%	17.8%	8.9%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	77.2%	16.9%	5.9%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	69.5%	18.7%	11.8%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	68.1%	22.2%	9.6%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	71.6%	20.8%	7.6%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	76.8%	18.8%	4.4%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	77.7%	17.7%	4.6%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	71.6%	9.9%	18.5%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	70.0%	10.6%	19.5%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	77.6%	16.9%	5.5%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	68.0%	24.9%	7.1%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	78.2%	14.1%	7.6%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	74.9%	16.9%	8.2%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	71.8%	19.9%	8.3%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	75.1%	19.6%	5.3%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	71.0%	15.6%	13.4%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only) *</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	69.7%	26.1%	4.2%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	77.3%	16.1%	6.7%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	80.5%	12.6%	6.9%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.





<b>Q9ii Do you agree that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas? - Broadcasting programmes produced or co-produced by Mainland broadcasters to enhance our understanding of developments in the Mainland</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	73.2%	17.8%	8.9%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>					
<b>Student</b>	62.3%	25.3%	12.4%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	72.7%	15.1%	12.3%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	75.7%	9.1%	15.1%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	63.1%	25.6%	11.3%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	76.3%	15.2%	8.5%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	76.7%	17.9%	5.4%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	72.7%	25.4%	1.8%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	73.7%	18.3%	8.0%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	83.1%	12.3%	4.6%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	74.8%	16.6%	8.6%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	63.0%	23.2%	13.7%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	78.6%	15.1%	6.3%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	70.1%	21.3%	8.6%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	77.6%	15.5%	7.0%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	66.3%	12.4%	21.3%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	72.1%	21.2%	6.7%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	73.2%	17.6%	9.2%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q9iii Do you agree that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas? - Broadcasting programmes produced or co-produced by international broadcasters to broaden our international horizon</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	82.4%	9.8%	7.8%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	84.1%	10.5%	5.4%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	80.8%	9.2%	10.0%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	82.5%	10.1%	7.4%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	79.9%	15.2%	5.0%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	83.7%	11.6%	4.7%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	88.1%	7.9%	3.9%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	77.9%	4.4%	17.7%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	73.7%	5.6%	20.7%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	89.1%	7.9%	3.0%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	76.8%	16.5%	6.7%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	81.5%	8.8%	9.7%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	82.5%	9.0%	8.5%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	84.1%	9.4%	6.5%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	83.3%	12.7%	4.0%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	81.3%	6.3%	12.5%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only) *</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	77.0%	21.3%	1.7%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	86.1%	8.7%	5.2%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	90.4%	6.6%	3.0%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q9iii Do you agree that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas? - Broadcasting programmes produced or co-produced by international broadcasters to broaden our international horizon</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	82.4%	9.8%	7.8%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	74.7%	12.5%	12.9%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	82.6%	6.0%	11.4%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	82.9%	3.0%	14.2%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	82.7%	8.0%	9.3%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	85.1%	8.3%	6.6%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	87.7%	10.1%	2.2%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	73.8%	24.3%	2.0%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	85.9%	7.2%	6.9%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	88.8%	7.7%	3.4%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	82.7%	9.6%	7.7%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	73.6%	14.0%	12.4%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	88.0%	6.6%	5.5%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	84.1%	9.8%	6.1%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	77.6%	14.5%	7.9%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	69.5%	8.2%	22.3%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	85.6%	10.2%	4.3%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	82.0%	10.0%	8.0%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q9iv Do you agree that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas? - Encouraging community participation in broadcasting</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	75.0%	15.2%	9.8%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	78.4%	15.6%	6.0%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	71.8%	14.8%	13.4%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	86.9%	9.0%	4.1%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	80.9%	17.0%	2.1%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	76.6%	15.0%	8.3%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	71.6%	20.4%	8.0%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	59.2%	15.0%	25.7%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	62.3%	11.3%	26.3%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	76.6%	17.6%	5.9%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	81.2%	13.9%	4.9%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	76.5%	13.0%	10.5%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	78.2%	9.9%	11.9%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	75.1%	16.9%	8.0%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	79.8%	15.6%	4.6%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	68.9%	14.8%	16.3%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	80.8%	15.3%	3.8%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	77.7%	17.8%	4.5%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	82.0%	10.8%	7.2%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q9iv Do you agree that RTHK should develop new programming opportunities in the following areas? - Encouraging community participation in broadcasting</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	75.0%	15.2%	9.8%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>					
<b>Student</b>	86.6%	9.0%	4.5%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	67.1%	17.6%	15.4%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	62.5%	15.7%	21.8%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	72.8%	10.2%	16.9%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	83.5%	10.0%	6.5%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	80.7%	17.0%	2.3%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	73.8%	21.6%	4.5%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	69.8%	20.8%	9.4%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	78.6%	14.3%	7.1%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	79.8%	12.9%	7.3%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	72.4%	13.1%	14.6%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	76.4%	14.5%	9.1%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	74.2%	17.0%	8.8%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	78.5%	13.0%	8.5%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	67.2%	12.7%	20.1%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	71.7%	20.1%	8.2%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	75.6%	14.7%	9.7%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q10 Do you agree that RTHK should establish a Community Broadcasting Involvement Fund to encourage community organisations and NGOs to participate in broadcasting?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	65.0%	18.1%	16.9%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	67.1%	19.5%	13.4%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	63.0%	16.9%	20.2%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	76.1%	14.6%	9.3%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	63.1%	24.4%	12.5%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	66.3%	18.9%	14.7%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	67.0%	17.2%	15.8%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	52.7%	15.8%	31.5%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	55.4%	10.8%	33.8%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	68.5%	17.7%	13.8%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	65.7%	24.3%	9.9%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	70.1%	13.2%	16.7%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	63.4%	19.4%	17.2%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	66.0%	18.5%	15.4%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	68.5%	20.7%	10.8%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	60.7%	14.9%	24.4%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only) *</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	70.3%	24.3%	5.4%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	68.3%	21.5%	10.2%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	75.5%	11.2%	13.3%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q10 Do you agree that RTHK should establish a Community Broadcasting Involvement Fund to encourage community organisations and NGOs to participate in broadcasting?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	65.0%	18.1%	16.9%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>					
<b>Student</b>	76.9%	14.7%	8.4%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	59.5%	15.3%	25.2%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	55.3%	14.3%	30.5%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	64.0%	11.1%	24.9%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	71.6%	16.8%	11.6%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	73.3%	18.6%	8.1%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	62.9%	33.5%	3.6%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	62.7%	20.1%	17.2%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	67.5%	18.8%	13.7%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	71.5%	16.8%	11.7%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	60.0%	16.9%	23.1%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes</b>					
<b>Always</b>	62.3%	18.2%	19.4%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	67.7%	17.6%	14.7%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	66.4%	17.6%	15.9%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	57.1%	20.4%	22.4%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	60.4%	20.9%	18.7%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	65.6%	18.1%	16.2%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q11 Do you agree that RTHK, whose operation is funded by public money, should enhance its corporate governance and be accountable to the public?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	82.2%	7.7%	10.1%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	86.6%	8.2%	5.2%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	78.1%	7.3%	14.7%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	82.2%	10.1%	7.7%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	84.3%	6.5%	9.2%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	87.8%	7.8%	4.4%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	85.1%	8.4%	6.5%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	71.3%	5.9%	22.8%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	71.3%	5.1%	23.6%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	84.4%	8.3%	7.3%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	86.6%	8.6%	4.7%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type *</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	78.4%	8.2%	13.3%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	84.5%	3.8%	11.7%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	84.4%	7.6%	8.0%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status</b>					
<b>Working</b>	86.8%	8.8%	4.4%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	76.5%	6.4%	17.2%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	86.3%	10.9%	2.8%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	86.0%	9.4%	4.6%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	91.6%	4.2%	4.2%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.





<b>Q11 Do you agree that RTHK, whose operation is funded by public money, should enhance its corporate governance and be accountable to the public?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	82.2%	7.7%	10.1%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	80.4%	4.0%	15.6%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	76.6%	6.8%	16.6%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	74.8%	7.6%	17.6%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	74.8%	4.3%	20.8%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	86.8%	8.8%	4.4%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	88.1%	9.5%	2.4%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	90.0%	9.0%	1.0%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes</b>					
<b>Always</b>	80.5%	10.3%	9.2%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	84.2%	6.6%	9.2%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	87.6%	5.3%	7.0%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	77.9%	8.4%	13.7%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes</b>					
<b>Always</b>	84.6%	7.1%	8.3%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	81.8%	9.1%	9.0%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	84.4%	5.2%	10.4%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	73.3%	8.2%	18.5%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	83.8%	7.2%	9.0%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	82.2%	8.0%	9.8%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q12 There are suggestions about establishment of a broad-based Board of Advisors, comprising mainly non-official members. Do you agree that could help enhance the corporate governance of RTHK and its accountability to the public?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	69.1%	14.9%	16.0%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	74.3%	14.1%	11.6%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	64.2%	15.8%	20.0%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	77.0%	11.8%	11.3%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	76.8%	12.2%	11.0%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	74.0%	14.5%	11.6%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	66.3%	21.1%	12.6%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	51.6%	15.3%	33.0%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	52.1%	14.1%	33.8%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	72.2%	14.9%	12.8%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	75.8%	15.7%	8.5%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	67.2%	14.5%	18.3%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	67.1%	14.4%	18.6%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	71.4%	15.4%	13.2%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	74.5%	15.4%	10.1%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	62.4%	14.4%	23.2%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only) *</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	70.6%	21.4%	8.0%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	76.5%	15.6%	7.9%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	74.3%	8.0%	17.7%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q12 There are suggestions about establishment of a broad-based Board of Advisors, comprising mainly non-official members. Do you agree that could help enhance the corporate governance of RTHK and its accountability to the public?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	69.1%	14.9%	16.0%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>					
<b>Student</b>	77.9%	9.7%	12.4%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	59.9%	15.4%	24.7%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	54.1%	17.6%	28.3%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	78.3%	5.2%	16.5%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	71.3%	12.4%	16.3%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	77.4%	16.0%	6.6%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	74.4%	20.5%	5.1%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	67.2%	19.1%	13.7%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	74.6%	13.8%	11.7%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	73.7%	12.0%	14.3%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	62.7%	14.8%	22.5%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	66.2%	19.5%	14.3%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	70.2%	15.5%	14.2%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	70.1%	12.1%	17.8%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	68.5%	6.8%	24.7%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	68.6%	18.4%	13.0%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	69.5%	14.5%	16.0%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q13 Do you agree that the Board of Advisors should not comprise serving ExCo and LegCo Members, public and judicial officers?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	68.2%	15.4%	16.3%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	72.4%	16.4%	11.2%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	64.3%	14.6%	21.1%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	76.9%	10.0%	13.1%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	74.9%	10.4%	14.7%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	70.2%	19.2%	10.6%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	66.2%	19.3%	14.5%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	53.5%	17.5%	29.0%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	52.0%	16.2%	31.7%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	70.7%	16.9%	12.3%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	75.8%	12.2%	11.9%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	63.7%	16.3%	20.1%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	69.7%	12.9%	17.4%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	71.9%	15.5%	12.6%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	72.1%	15.8%	12.1%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	63.4%	15.0%	21.6%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	72.3%	15.3%	12.4%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	72.9%	16.2%	10.9%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	72.9%	14.0%	13.0%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q13 Do you agree that the Board of Advisors should not comprise serving ExCo and LegCo Members, public and judicial officers?</b>					
	Agree	Disagree	Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer	Total	Sample size
<b>Total</b>	68.2%	15.4%	16.3%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	78.7%	8.9%	12.4%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	62.9%	15.7%	21.4%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	56.9%	18.1%	25.0%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	65.7%	10.5%	23.9%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	68.2%	17.2%	14.6%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	78.0%	12.0%	10.0%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	68.4%	24.6%	6.9%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes</b>					
<b>Always</b>	69.8%	16.0%	14.2%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	71.3%	15.8%	12.9%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	66.6%	17.1%	16.3%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	65.9%	13.3%	20.8%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes</b>					
<b>Always</b>	68.5%	16.7%	14.8%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	69.6%	15.6%	14.9%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	67.7%	14.0%	18.3%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	60.3%	15.6%	24.1%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document *</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	64.2%	23.8%	12.0%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	69.2%	14.5%	16.3%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q14 Do you agree that RTHK should publish annual report to the public on its achievement towards a set of performance indicators with a view to enhancing transparency and accountability?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	85.4%	5.3%	9.3%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	88.9%	5.3%	5.8%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	82.1%	5.3%	12.5%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	83.8%	9.5%	6.8%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	89.4%	5.3%	5.3%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	89.6%	5.8%	4.6%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	90.0%	3.5%	6.5%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	74.2%	2.6%	23.2%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	70.5%	3.4%	26.2%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	89.6%	4.7%	5.7%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	88.3%	8.1%	3.7%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type *</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	82.3%	5.9%	11.8%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	83.7%	0.8%	15.6%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	89.0%	4.7%	6.3%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	89.8%	6.1%	4.1%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	79.9%	4.4%	15.8%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	91.3%	5.6%	3.1%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	89.3%	8.0%	2.7%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	88.7%	3.5%	7.8%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q14 Do you agree that RTHK should publish annual report to the public on its achievement towards a set of performance indicators with a view to enhancing transparency and accountability?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	85.4%	5.3%	9.3%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	80.9%	7.9%	11.2%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	82.9%	2.0%	15.1%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	76.1%	4.8%	19.0%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	80.6%	7.5%	11.9%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	85.6%	7.9%	6.5%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	93.1%	4.8%	2.1%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	94.6%	5.4%	-	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	88.7%	5.2%	6.1%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	90.4%	2.9%	6.8%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	89.3%	4.4%	6.3%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	75.4%	8.3%	16.2%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	89.2%	3.8%	7.0%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	85.8%	6.4%	7.8%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	86.6%	4.8%	8.7%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	70.2%	5.6%	24.3%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	91.7%	0.8%	7.5%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	84.9%	5.8%	9.3%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q15 Do you agree that RTHK's programme production has been carrying out with editorial independence?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	83.5%	6.2%	10.3%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	89.6%	5.9%	4.5%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	77.7%	6.6%	15.7%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	84.2%	8.0%	7.7%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	86.2%	9.2%	4.6%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	90.3%	2.5%	7.1%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	82.0%	8.6%	9.4%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	73.9%	3.7%	22.4%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	71.9%	3.0%	25.2%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	87.4%	6.8%	5.7%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	85.1%	7.6%	7.4%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type *</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	81.1%	6.0%	12.9%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	83.7%	3.1%	13.2%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	85.7%	7.2%	7.0%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	88.2%	6.7%	5.1%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	77.6%	5.6%	16.8%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	90.7%	7.6%	1.7%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	86.3%	6.7%	7.0%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	88.9%	4.4%	6.7%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.





<b>Q15 Do you agree that RTHK's programme production has been carrying out with editorial independence?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	83.5%	6.2%	10.3%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	83.7%	5.7%	10.7%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	77.0%	4.6%	18.3%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	75.8%	6.1%	18.1%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	77.9%	8.4%	13.7%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	85.1%	6.9%	8.0%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	90.3%	6.7%	3.0%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	93.0%	6.0%	1.0%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes</b>					
<b>Always</b>	89.1%	4.3%	6.6%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	84.6%	6.0%	9.4%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	81.9%	7.4%	10.7%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	78.8%	7.1%	14.1%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	84.3%	5.8%	9.9%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	86.9%	5.6%	7.5%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	79.5%	7.8%	12.7%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	72.0%	7.1%	20.9%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	90.5%	3.3%	6.2%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	83.1%	6.6%	10.3%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. "\*" Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. "-" indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q16 Do you agree that the Charter to be signed by the Chief Secretary for Administration will give further safeguard to the editorial independence of RTHK?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	68.6%	15.7%	15.7%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>					
<b>Male</b>	71.9%	17.2%	10.9%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	65.6%	14.2%	20.3%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>					
<b>18 – 29</b>	71.3%	15.2%	13.5%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	71.0%	16.3%	12.7%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	72.2%	15.5%	12.3%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	66.8%	20.4%	12.8%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	61.4%	11.4%	27.2%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>					
<b>Primary and below</b>	58.0%	12.1%	29.9%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	74.0%	15.8%	10.2%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	66.9%	18.0%	15.1%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type</b>					
<b>Rented public housing</b>	71.3%	11.1%	17.6%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	64.7%	16.7%	18.6%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	71.1%	16.1%	12.8%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>					
<b>Working</b>	71.7%	16.6%	11.7%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	64.7%	14.5%	20.8%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>					
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	69.1%	20.0%	10.9%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	72.0%	16.9%	11.1%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	79.3%	10.4%	10.3%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q16 Do you agree that the Charter to be signed by the Chief Secretary for Administration will give further safeguard to the editorial independence of RTHK?</b>					
	<b>Agree</b>	<b>Disagree</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	68.6%	15.7%	15.7%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only)</b>					
<b>Student</b>	74.7%	16.4%	8.9%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	64.2%	14.5%	21.3%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	61.4%	14.6%	24.0%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	64.7%	9.8%	25.5%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only) *</b>					
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	71.1%	14.6%	14.3%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	79.4%	13.8%	6.8%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	65.9%	26.0%	8.0%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>					
<b>Always</b>	72.8%	15.6%	11.5%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	72.0%	16.0%	11.9%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	68.0%	16.9%	15.0%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	62.8%	14.4%	22.8%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes</b>					
<b>Always</b>	66.3%	16.5%	17.3%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	71.2%	15.7%	13.1%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	65.9%	16.1%	18.0%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	66.9%	11.9%	21.1%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>					
<b>Yes</b>	74.0%	15.7%	10.3%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	68.1%	15.9%	16.1%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q17 How optimistic are you towards the editorial independence of RTHK in future?</b>						
	<b>Very optimistic / Optimistic</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Pessimistic / Very pessimistic</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	36.2%	46.9%	9.9%	6.9%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>						
<b>Male</b>	39.9%	44.2%	12.1%	3.8%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	32.8%	49.5%	7.8%	9.9%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>						
<b>18 – 29</b>	36.4%	52.1%	9.1%	2.4%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	30.5%	55.9%	10.6%	3.0%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	34.0%	51.2%	10.6%	4.1%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	42.5%	39.6%	11.7%	6.1%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	38.1%	35.8%	7.3%	18.8%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>						
<b>Primary and below</b>	34.3%	39.5%	6.7%	19.5%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	40.1%	47.8%	8.7%	3.4%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	30.1%	51.5%	14.5%	3.9%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type *</b>						
<b>Rented public housing</b>	34.1%	49.5%	6.3%	10.2%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	32.1%	44.3%	20.5%	3.1%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	38.3%	47.4%	9.3%	4.9%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>						
<b>Working</b>	34.5%	50.2%	12.1%	3.2%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	38.4%	42.9%	7.1%	11.6%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>						
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	32.7%	49.1%	15.0%	3.2%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	34.8%	51.0%	11.7%	2.5%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	40.5%	48.4%	9.0%	2.1%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q17 How optimistic are you towards the editorial independence of RTHK in future?</b>						
	<b>Very optimistic / Optimistic</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Pessimistic / Very pessimistic</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	36.2%	46.9%	9.9%	6.9%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>						
<b>Student</b>	44.4%	45.0%	6.2%	4.5%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	33.9%	46.1%	4.7%	15.3%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	43.0%	35.1%	9.2%	12.7%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	34.6%	53.2%	12.1%	-	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>						
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	38.1%	52.6%	6.7%	2.6%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	32.2%	52.4%	14.2%	1.2%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	36.6%	43.8%	18.0%	1.6%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>						
<b>Always</b>	44.7%	40.9%	8.9%	5.5%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	37.5%	48.1%	8.6%	5.8%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	33.9%	51.6%	8.9%	5.6%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	29.9%	47.2%	12.6%	10.2%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>						
<b>Always</b>	42.5%	39.8%	10.9%	6.8%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	36.6%	47.8%	9.6%	6.0%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	33.2%	50.5%	11.1%	5.2%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	26.4%	53.6%	4.5%	15.5%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>						
<b>Yes</b>	47.0%	38.4%	10.8%	3.8%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	35.4%	47.5%	10.0%	7.1%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q18 How optimistic are you towards the corporate governance of RTHK in future?</b>						
	<b>Very optimistic / Optimistic</b>	<b>Neutral</b>	<b>Pessimistic / Very pessimistic</b>	<b>Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer</b>	<b>Total</b>	<b>Sample size</b>
<b>Total</b>	28.4%	52.7%	9.1%	9.7%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Gender *</b>						
<b>Male</b>	32.0%	49.0%	11.3%	7.7%	100.0%	484
<b>Female</b>	25.1%	56.1%	7.1%	11.6%	100.0%	519
<b>Age *</b>						
<b>18 – 29</b>	17.8%	70.2%	8.9%	3.1%	100.0%	196
<b>30 – 39</b>	26.6%	56.7%	9.0%	7.6%	100.0%	187
<b>40 – 49</b>	33.8%	53.1%	7.5%	5.7%	100.0%	228
<b>50 – 59</b>	32.0%	45.5%	12.6%	10.0%	100.0%	188
<b>60 and above</b>	31.1%	38.4%	8.1%	22.5%	100.0%	204
<b>Education level *</b>						
<b>Primary and below</b>	23.9%	46.1%	6.7%	23.3%	100.0%	199
<b>Secondary / Matriculation</b>	32.7%	52.1%	8.3%	6.8%	100.0%	512
<b>Tertiary</b>	23.3%	59.6%	12.4%	4.7%	100.0%	283
<b>Housing type *</b>						
<b>Rented public housing</b>	26.2%	52.1%	7.2%	14.4%	100.0%	253
<b>Rented private housing</b>	36.8%	44.3%	13.4%	5.5%	100.0%	107
<b>Purchased housing / Others</b>	29.1%	54.5%	8.9%	7.5%	100.0%	568
<b>Working status *</b>						
<b>Working</b>	26.8%	56.7%	11.2%	5.3%	100.0%	555
<b>Non-working</b>	30.4%	47.7%	6.6%	15.3%	100.0%	448
<b>Occupation (working only)</b>						
<b>Manager / Administrator / Professional / Associate professional</b>	25.4%	53.2%	15.9%	5.5%	100.0%	174
<b>Clerk / Service worker / Shop sales worker</b>	27.7%	58.1%	10.5%	3.7%	100.0%	215
<b>Skilled and unskilled worker</b>	26.8%	59.2%	6.6%	7.4%	100.0%	115

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.



<b>Q18 How optimistic are you towards the corporate governance of RTHK in future?</b>						
	Very optimistic / Optimistic	Neutral	Pessimistic / Very pessimistic	Don't know/ No comment / Refuse to answer	Total	Sample size
<b>Total</b>	28.4%	52.7%	9.1%	9.7%	100.0%	1 003
<b>Non-working status (non-working only) *</b>						
<b>Student</b>	21.0%	68.8%	4.0%	6.2%	100.0%	71
<b>Homemaker</b>	30.4%	47.1%	4.7%	17.8%	100.0%	182
<b>Retired</b>	35.9%	37.5%	10.0%	16.6%	100.0%	153
<b>Unemployed / Others</b>	27.2%	50.3%	8.2%	14.3%	100.0%	38
<b>Personal monthly income (working only)</b>						
<b>Below \$10,000</b>	25.9%	61.0%	10.1%	3.0%	100.0%	162
<b>\$10,000 - \$24,999</b>	27.8%	59.6%	9.9%	2.7%	100.0%	230
<b>\$25,000 and above</b>	27.2%	51.1%	17.2%	4.5%	100.0%	97
<b>Frequency of listening to RTHK radio programmes *</b>						
<b>Always</b>	36.5%	45.8%	8.5%	9.3%	100.0%	246
<b>Occasionally</b>	26.2%	58.3%	8.4%	7.1%	100.0%	241
<b>Seldom</b>	28.4%	55.7%	9.0%	6.8%	100.0%	230
<b>Never</b>	23.1%	51.7%	10.5%	14.8%	100.0%	284
<b>Frequency of watching RTHK TV programmes *</b>						
<b>Always</b>	35.2%	47.6%	8.2%	9.1%	100.0%	233
<b>Occasionally</b>	29.0%	54.4%	8.4%	8.3%	100.0%	468
<b>Seldom</b>	21.2%	57.8%	12.3%	8.7%	100.0%	205
<b>Never</b>	26.2%	44.8%	8.9%	20.0%	100.0%	93
<b>Incidence of reading the consultation document</b>						
<b>Yes</b>	34.1%	42.3%	14.8%	8.8%	100.0%	96
<b>No</b>	28.1%	53.8%	8.5%	9.5%	100.0%	878

1. The figures in the tables were weighted by gender / age distribution among HK population.

2. Those refused to give demographic information were excluded in the analysis.

3. “\*” Chi-Square test indicates a significant relationship exists at 95% confidence level between the response to the question and the respondents' profile.

4. “-” indicates 0%.

5. The percentage figures may not add up to 100 due to rounding.